

Statistical Physics

Lecture Notes

Abstract

These notes represent the material covered in the Part II lecture *Statistical Physics*. They are largely based on the more extended lecture notes by David Tong [2]. The main purpose of these notes to provide as close as possible a one-to-one representation of the course as it appears on the black board in the lecture room. This comes, at times, at the expense of uniformly using complete sentences and instead using short phrases and the like.

Readers interested in more details as well as a wider range of subjects, will find David Tong's lecture notes an excellent source and may also find the following books of interest.

- E. Mandl, "Statistical Physics" .
- L. D. Landau & E. M. Lifshitz, "Statistical Physics" .
- F. Reif, "Fundamentals of Statistical and Thermal Physics" .
- M. Kardar, "Statistical Physics of Particles", "Statistical Physics of Fields"; see also Kardar's webpage [3].
- A. B. Pippard, "The Elements of Classical Thermodynamics" .

Example sheets for this course will be available on the web page

<http://www.damtp.cam.ac.uk/user/examples>

Cambridge, January 2014

Ulrich Sperhake

Contents

A	The fundamentals of statistical physics	3
A.1	Introduction	3
A.2	The microcanonical ensemble	4
A.2.1	Entropy and the 2 nd law of thermodynamics	5
A.2.2	Temperature	7
A.2.3	The Two-State system	9
A.2.4	Pressure, Volume, 1 st law of thermodynamics	12
A.3	The canonical ensemble	13
A.3.1	The partition function	14
A.3.2	Entropy	16
A.3.3	Free energy	19
A.4	The grand canonical ensemble	20
A.4.1	The chemical potential	20
A.4.2	The grand canonical ensemble	21
A.4.3	The grand canonical potential	21
B	Classical Gases	23
B.1	From QM to classical	23
B.2	Ideal gas	24
B.2.1	Equipartition of energy	25
B.2.2	Entropy	26
B.2.3	The ideal gas in the GrCE	27
B.3	Maxwell distribution	28
B.4	Diatomic gas	30
B.5	Interacting gas	31
B.5.1	Mayer f function and B_2	32
B.5.2	Van der Waals equation of state	34
C	Quantum Gases	36
C.1	Density of states	36
C.1.1	Relativistic systems	37
C.2	Photons: Blackbody Radiation	37
C.2.1	Planck distribution	38
C.2.2	Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB)	40
C.2.3	The birth of QM	40
C.3	Phonons	41
C.4	The diatomic gas revisited	43
C.5	Bosons	44
C.5.1	Bose-Einstein (BE) distribution	44
C.5.2	QM gas at high T	46
C.5.3	Bose Einstein condensation	47

C.5.4	Heat capacity: A first look at phase transitions	49
C.6	Fermions	52
C.6.1	Ideal Fermi gas	52
C.6.2	Degenerate Fermi gas and the Fermi surface	53
C.6.3	Fermi gas at low T	54
C.6.4	White Dwarfs and the Chandrasekhar limit	56
C.6.5	Pauli paramagnetism (not lectured)	57
D	Classical Thermodynamics	59
D.1	Temperature and the 0 th law	59
D.2	The 1 st law	60
D.3	The 2 nd law	61
D.3.1	The Carnot cycle	62
D.3.2	Thermodynamic temperature scale and ideal gas	63
D.3.3	Entropy	65
D.4	Thermodynamic potentials: Free Energy, Enthalpy	67
D.4.1	Maxwell's relations	68
D.5	The 3 rd law	69
E	Phase transitions	70
E.1	Liquid-gas transition	70
E.1.1	Phase equilibrium	70
E.1.2	The Clausius-Clapeyron Equation	72
E.1.3	The critical point	73
E.2	The Ising model	75
E.2.1	Mean-field theory	76
E.2.2	Critical exponents	78
E.3	Landau Theory	81
E.3.1	Second order phase transitions	81
E.3.2	First order phase transitions	82

A The fundamentals of statistical physics

A.1 Introduction

Science works in layers, e.g.:

History
Economy
Psychology
Biology
Chemistry
Atomic Physics
Nuclear Physics
Particle Physics

Choose one area, consider the neighbours, ignore the rest.

Fundamental laws, large numbers

→ emergent phenomena, e.g. traffic jams, temperature

Statistical Physics: translate microphysics (fundamental laws)
 → macrophysics (temperature, color, ...)

We will see that this can be done quite rigorously for many laws: *ideal gas law, Wien's displacement, ...*


Not all macrosystems are understood at micro level: *black holes, high T super conductors*

Note: We have large numbers $N \sim 10^{23} \neq 1$

A.2 The microcanonical ensemble

Isolated system: no exchange of energy, particles with outside world

We do this QM, but applies to classical systems as well ($\Sigma \rightarrow f$)



time independent Schrödinger eq.: $\hat{H}|\psi\rangle = E|\psi\rangle$

ψ Eigenstate

E Eigenvalue

For $N \sim 10^{23}$ degrees of freedom: – impossible to solve
 – unnecessary to solve: system jumps from state to state ...

our view: **mixed state** with probability $p(n)$ for state $|n\rangle$

$$\text{expectation value } \langle \hat{O} \rangle = \sum_n p(n) \langle n | \hat{O} | n \rangle$$

equilibrium: probability distribution is time independent!

e.g. leave system for a while

Fundamental assumption: For an isolated system in equilibrium, all accessible microstates are equally likely

“accessible” = same energy E (for now)

$$\Omega(E) = \# \text{ of states with energy } E$$

$$\Rightarrow p(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Omega(E)} & \text{if } |n\rangle \text{ has energy } E \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Comments: • $\Omega(E)$ is absurdly large! E.g. 10^{23} 2-state particles $\Rightarrow \Omega(E) = 2^{(10^{23})}$

- In QM, energy levels are discrete. For $N \sim 10^{23}$ they are finely spaced
 \rightarrow almost like continuum.

We implicitly define $\Omega(E)$ as the # of states with energy $\in [E, E + \Delta E)$;
 $\Delta E \ll$ measurement accuracy, \gg level spacing

- $p(n)$ has nothing to do with quantum uncertainty!
 it's just our ignorance.

A.2.1 Entropy and the 2nd law of thermodynamics

Def.: Entropy of system $S(E) = k_B \ln \Omega(E)$

$$k_B = 1.381 \cdot 10^{-23} \frac{\text{J}}{\text{K}} \quad \text{“Boltzmann’s constant”}$$



Recall: N 2-state particles $\Rightarrow \Omega = 2^N$

$$\Rightarrow S \sim N$$

additive: consider 2 separate systems

$$\Rightarrow \Omega(E_1, E_2) = \Omega_1(E_1) \Omega_2(E_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow S(E_1, E_2) = S_1(E_1) + S_2(E_2)$$




The second law

bring the two systems together

They exchange energy: $E_1 \rightarrow \tilde{E}_1$, $E_2 \rightarrow \tilde{E}_2$

$$E_{\text{tot}} = E_1 + E_2 = \tilde{E}_1 + \tilde{E}_2$$



$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \Omega(E_{\text{tot}}) &= \sum_{\{\tilde{E}_i\}} \Omega_1(\tilde{E}_1^i) \Omega_2(E_{\text{tot}} - \tilde{E}_1^i) \\ &= \sum_{\{\tilde{E}_i\}} \exp \left[\frac{S_1(\tilde{E}_1^i)}{k_B} + \frac{S_2(E_{\text{tot}} - \tilde{E}_1^i)}{k_B} \right] \end{aligned}$$

discreteness of QM energy levels: see comment above!

when the systems were separate, we had $\Omega(E_1, E_2)$ states.

each such state is also one of the $\Omega(E_{\text{tot}})$ states when the system is combined!

$$\Rightarrow \Omega(E_{\text{tot}}) \geq \Omega(E_1, E_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow S(E_{\text{tot}}) \geq S(E_1, E_2) = S_1(E_1) + S_2(E_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\Delta S \geq 0}$$

For large N : recall that $S \sim N$

$$\Rightarrow \text{the above sum } \Omega(E_{\text{tot}}) = \sum_{\{\tilde{E}_1^i\}} \exp \left[\frac{S_1(\tilde{E}_1^i)}{k_B} + \frac{S_2(E_{\text{tot}} - \tilde{E}_1^i)}{k_B} \right]$$

is a sum of exponentials of $N \sim 10^{23}$.

Such sums are dominated by their maximum value!

Say, for some energy $\tilde{E}_1^i = E_*$, the exponent $\frac{S_1 + S_2}{k_B}$ is twice as large as for any other E .

Then this term is $\sim e^N$ times larger than all other terms.

⇒ All terms but the one with $\tilde{E}_1^i = E_*$ are negligible

Setting $\tilde{E}_1 = \tilde{E}_1^i$, $\tilde{E}_2 = E_{\text{tot}} - \tilde{E}_1^i$, the exponent is

$$\frac{1}{k_B} \left[S_1(\tilde{E}_1) + S_2(\tilde{E}_2) \right],$$

and it is maximal for $\tilde{E}_1^i = E_*$ if

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{E}_1^i} \left[S_1(\tilde{E}_1) + S_2(\tilde{E}_2) \right] = \frac{\partial S_1}{\partial \tilde{E}_1} - \frac{\partial S_2}{\partial \tilde{E}_2} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\left. \frac{\partial S_1}{\partial E} \right|_{E_*} - \left. \frac{\partial S_2}{\partial E} \right|_{E_{\text{tot}} - E_*}} = 0 \quad (*)$$

Then the total entropy is

$$S(E_{\text{tot}}) \approx S_1(E_*) + S_2(E_{\text{tot}} - E_*) \geq S_1(E_1) + S_2(E_2)$$

⇒ Subsystems 1 and 2 have nearly determined energies E_* , $E_{\text{tot}} - E_*$ after contact.

Note: If $E_1 \neq E_*$, subsystem 1 will hardly ever return from energy E_* to E_1

“contact vastly enhances the number of accessible states”

Second Law: energy is rearranged such that $S_1(\tilde{E}_1) + S_2(\tilde{E}_2)$ is maximal

A.2.2 Temperature

Note: We are slightly departing here from the microcanonic assumption $E = \text{const.}$. This is to be viewed as an ensemble of systems with different E .

Def.: Temperature T : $\boxed{\frac{1}{T} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial E}}$

Why is this a good definition?

Does it describe coffee?

- 1) Units ok thanks to Boltzmann's constant
- 2) Consider Eq. (*): Energy rearranged such that $S_1(\tilde{E}_1) + S_2(\tilde{E}_2)$ max.
Now assume $E_1 = \tilde{E}_1$, $E_2 = \tilde{E}_2$, i.e. no energy transfer at all

$$\Rightarrow \left. \frac{\partial S_1}{\partial E} \right|_{E_1} - \left. \frac{\partial S_2}{\partial E} \right|_{E_2} = 0 \Rightarrow T_1 = T_2$$

\Rightarrow No energy transfer corresponds to equal $T_1 = T_2$ (before contact) as expected.

3) Let us assume small energy transfer: $\delta E_1 = -\delta E_2$

$$\Rightarrow \delta S \approx \left. \frac{\partial S_1}{\partial E} \right|_{E_1} \delta E_1 + \left. \frac{\partial S_2}{\partial E} \right|_{E_2} \delta E_2 = \left(\left. \frac{\partial S_1}{\partial E} \right|_{E_1} - \left. \frac{\partial S_2}{\partial E} \right|_{E_2} \right) \delta E_1 = \left(\frac{1}{T_1} - \frac{1}{T_2} \right) \delta E_1$$

$\delta S > 0$ because S maximized

if $T_1 > T_2 \Rightarrow \delta E_1 < 0$

\Rightarrow energy goes from hot to cold

Summary: T looks a good definition

equal $T \Rightarrow$ equilibrium

we will evaluate T for ideal gas later; our def. is correct

Heat capacity

Def.: $C \equiv \frac{\partial E}{\partial T}$

Comments: • we should call this “energy capacity”

• C is nice: can be measured!

• consider E a function of T

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial S}{\partial T} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial E} \frac{\partial E}{\partial T} = \frac{C}{T}$$

$$\Rightarrow C = T \frac{\partial S}{\partial T}$$

we will see this as $C = \frac{\delta Q}{\delta T}$ in thermodynamics

where we also specify what’s kept constant: C_V, C_P, \dots

we can measure entropy differences: $\Delta S = \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \frac{C(T)}{T} dT$

...beats counting $\sim \exp(10^{23})$ states

Def.: specific heat capacity $c = \frac{C}{N}$

Note: $\frac{\partial S}{\partial E} = \frac{1}{T} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial E^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial E} \frac{1}{T} = \frac{\partial T}{\partial E} \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \frac{1}{T} = -\frac{1}{T^2 C}$

Almost all substances have $C > 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial E^2} < 0$

\Rightarrow The extremum in Eq. (*) is really a max.

\Rightarrow “thermodynamically stable systems”

Exception: black holes; Hawking radiation

A.2.3 The Two-State system

Stirling’s formula


We often have $\ln N!$

Stirling: $\ln N! = N \ln N - N + \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi N) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)$ (Examples)

For now: $\ln N! = \sum_{p=1}^N \ln p$

$$\approx \int_1^N \ln p \, dp = \int_1^N 1 \ln p \, dp$$

$$= [p \ln p]_1^N - \int_1^N \frac{p}{p} dp = N \ln N - (N - 1)$$



\Rightarrow $\ln N! \approx N \ln N - N$ (lower limit!)

Two-spin-state system

N particles: non-interacting, 2 states: \uparrow, \downarrow

Let $E_{\downarrow} = 0, E_{\uparrow} = \epsilon$

N_{\uparrow} particles with spin up $\Rightarrow N_{\downarrow} = N - N_{\uparrow}$

$$\Rightarrow E = N_{\uparrow}\epsilon$$

what is $\Omega(E)$?

pick N_{\uparrow} particles from N

$$\Rightarrow \Omega(E) = \binom{N}{N_{\uparrow}} = \frac{N!}{N_{\uparrow}!(N - N_{\uparrow})!}$$

$$\Rightarrow S(E) = k_B \ln \frac{N!}{N_{\uparrow}!(N - N_{\uparrow})!} = k_B [\ln N! - \ln N_{\uparrow}! - \ln(N - N_{\uparrow})!]$$

$$\approx k_B [N \ln N - N - N_{\uparrow} \ln N_{\uparrow} + N_{\uparrow} - (N - N_{\uparrow}) \ln(N - N_{\uparrow}) + N - N_{\uparrow}]$$

$$= k_B [(N - N_{\uparrow}) \ln N + N_{\uparrow} \ln N - N_{\uparrow} \ln N_{\uparrow} - (N - N_{\uparrow}) \ln(N - N_{\uparrow})]$$


$$= -k_B \left[(N - N_{\uparrow}) \ln \frac{N - N_{\uparrow}}{N} + N_{\uparrow} \ln \frac{N_{\uparrow}}{N} \right] \quad \left| N_{\uparrow} = \frac{E}{\epsilon} \right.$$

$$= -k_B N \left[\left(1 - \frac{E}{N\epsilon}\right) \ln \left(1 - \frac{E}{N\epsilon}\right) + \frac{E}{N\epsilon} \ln \frac{E}{N\epsilon} \right]$$

special cases: $S(0) = 0$

$$S\left(\frac{N\epsilon}{2}\right) = k_B N \ln 2 \quad \text{maximum}$$

$$S(N\epsilon) = 0$$



Temperature: $\frac{1}{T} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial E} = \dots = \frac{k_B}{\epsilon} \ln \left(\frac{N\epsilon}{E} - 1 \right)$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{N_{\uparrow}}{N} = \frac{E}{N\epsilon} = \frac{1}{e^{\epsilon/(k_B T)} + 1}$$

For $T \rightarrow \infty$: $\frac{N_{\uparrow}}{N} = \frac{1}{2}$

What happens for $E > \frac{N\epsilon}{2}$?

$T < 0 \Rightarrow$ as we increase E , $\Omega(E)$ decreases

view as $\frac{1}{T}$ going through 0 to negative values


Heat capacity: $C = \frac{\partial E}{\partial T} = \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \left(\frac{N\epsilon}{e^{\epsilon/(k_B T)} + 1} \right) = \frac{N\epsilon^2}{k_B T^2} \frac{e^{\epsilon/(k_B T)}}{(e^{\epsilon/(k_B T)} + 1)^2}$

- C max near $T \sim \frac{\epsilon}{k_B}$
- $T \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow C \sim e^{-\epsilon/(k_B T)} \rightarrow 0$

“gap to first excited state”

\Rightarrow heating “a bit” does nothing

- $T \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow C \sim \frac{1}{T^2} \rightarrow 0$ “half the states are already \uparrow ”



Schottky anomaly

for normal substances: C dominated by phonons or free electrons; spin negligible

$\Rightarrow C$ increases with T .

for special cases at low T : e.g. paramagnetic salts

spin contribution significant $\Rightarrow C$ like Fig.

A.2.4 Pressure, Volume, 1st law of thermodynamics

Consider volume V of system

$$\Rightarrow S(E, V) = k_B \ln \Omega(E, V)$$

$$\frac{1}{T} = \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial E} \right)_V ; \text{ "keep } V \text{ constant"}$$

Recall: we defined $\frac{1}{T} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial E}$ and concluded that

2 systems keep their energies E_1, E_2 if they have same T

Def: "Pressure":
$$p \equiv T \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial V} \right)_E$$

Repeat the argument for T equilibrium

\Rightarrow 2 systems keep their volume if they have the same p

First Law: from our definitions:
$$dS = \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial E} \right)_V dE + \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial V} \right)_E dV = \frac{1}{T} dE + \frac{p}{T} dV$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{dE = T dS - p dV}$$


Note: $p dV = p A dx = F dx$

= work done by system

sign: $dV < 0$

\Rightarrow we work on the system

$\Rightarrow dE > 0$



energy conservation: $T dS =$ heat δQ added to the system; cf. Sec. **D**

$p dV =$ work done by the system

\Rightarrow The system's change in energy is equal to the heat added plus the work done on the system

heat capacity: we now write $C_V = \left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial T}\right)_V = T \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial T}\right)_V$

\uparrow
 Strictly $\left(\frac{\delta Q}{\delta T}\right)_V$ but it's the same since $dV = 0$

analogously: $C_p = T \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial T}\right)_p$ (don't use $\frac{\partial E}{\partial T}$ here!)

Ludwig Boltzmann: did a lot of this in the absence of proof for atoms!

$S = k_B \ln \Omega$ on his tomb stone


his work received a lot of criticism;

truly appreciated after his suicide in Trieste in 1906

A.3 The canonical ensemble

Closed system: can exchange energy, but no matter, with outside world

- closed system \mathcal{S}
- at equilibrium temperature T
- coupled to *large* reservoir \mathcal{R}



↳ changes in T of reservoir negligible

What is the number of states of the total system $\mathcal{S} + \mathcal{R}$?

$$\Omega(E_{\text{tot}}) = \sum_n \Omega_{\mathcal{R}}(E_{\text{tot}} - E_n) = \sum_n \exp \left[\frac{S_{\mathcal{R}}(E_{\text{tot}} - E_n)}{k_B} \right]$$

where $n =$ state of system \mathcal{S} with energy E_n

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{R}} = \# \text{ of states of reservoir; } S_{\mathcal{R}} = k_B \ln \Omega_{\mathcal{R}}$$

Note: We sum over all states n , not over the energies E_n of \mathcal{S} !

Otherwise: degeneracy factor $g(E_n)$

\mathcal{R} large $\Rightarrow E_n \ll E_{\text{tot}}$

$$\Rightarrow \Omega(E_{\text{tot}}) = \sum_n \exp \left[\frac{S_{\mathcal{R}}(E_{\text{tot}} - E_n)}{k_B} \right] \approx \sum_n \exp \left[\frac{S_{\mathcal{R}}(E_{\text{tot}})}{k_B} - \underbrace{\frac{\partial S_{\mathcal{R}}}{\partial E_{\text{tot}}}}_{= \frac{1}{T}} \frac{E_n}{k_B} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \Omega(E_{\text{tot}}) \approx e^{S_{\mathcal{R}}(E_{\text{tot}})/k_B} \sum_n e^{-E_n/(k_B T)}$$

\Rightarrow # of states where \mathcal{S} sits in state $|n\rangle$: $e^{S_{\mathcal{R}}/k_B} e^{-E_n/(k_B T)}$

Each of the $\Omega(E_{\text{tot}})$ states equally likely

$$\Rightarrow \text{probability that } \mathcal{S} \text{ is in state } |n\rangle: p(n) = \frac{e^{-E_n/(k_B T)}}{\sum_m e^{-E_m/(k_B T)}}$$

“Boltzmann distribution”, “canonical ensemble”

- Comments:**
- Reservoir only plays a role through T
 - $p \sim e^{-E/(k_B T)} \Rightarrow$ high-energy states unlikely
 - E of system large \Rightarrow fewer states of reservoir to distribute its energy
 - $T \rightarrow 0$ forces system into ground state (lowest E)

A.3.1 The partition function

Def.: $\beta \equiv \frac{1}{k_B T}$

Def.: “partition function” $Z \equiv \sum_n e^{-\beta E_n}$

= sum of probabilities of $|n\rangle$ up to normalization

\Rightarrow Boltzmann distribution: $p(n) = \frac{e^{-\beta E_n}}{Z}$

Z is the most important quantity in statistical physics!

Z is multiplicative: Consider two systems 1, 2

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow Z &= \sum_{n,m} e^{-\beta[E_m^{(1)}+E_n^{(2)}]} = \sum_{n,m} \left[e^{-\beta E_m^{(1)}} e^{-\beta E_n^{(2)}} \right] \\ &= \sum_m \left[e^{-\beta E_m^{(1)}} \right] \sum_n \left[e^{-\beta E_n^{(2)}} \right] = Z_1 Z_2\end{aligned}$$

In QM we have 2 probabilities: - QM

- our ignorance of the system

$$\Rightarrow \text{density matrix: } \hat{\rho} = \frac{e^{-\beta \hat{H}}}{Z}$$

$$\Rightarrow p(\phi) = \langle \phi | \hat{\rho} | \phi \rangle = \text{probability of state } |\phi\rangle$$

We won't use that

Derivations from Z

$$\text{average energy: } \langle E \rangle = \sum_n (p_n E_n) = \sum_n \frac{E_n e^{-\beta E_n}}{Z}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\langle E \rangle = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \ln Z}$$

$$\text{energy fluctuations: } \Delta E^2 = \langle (E - \langle E \rangle)^2 \rangle = \langle E^2 \rangle - \langle E \rangle^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow \boxed{\Delta E^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \beta^2} \ln Z = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \langle E \rangle}$$

$$\text{heat capacity: } C_V = \left. \frac{\partial \langle E \rangle}{\partial T} \right|_V = \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial T} \frac{\partial \langle E \rangle}{\partial \beta} = \frac{1}{k_B T^2} \Delta E^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta E^2 = k_B T^2 C_V$$

Comments:

1) large fluctuations \sim large heat capacity:

manifestation of “fluctuation-dissipation theorem”

2) Recall: $C_V \sim N$, $E \sim N \Rightarrow \frac{\Delta E}{E} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$

\Rightarrow for large N : E peaked near $\langle E \rangle$, essentially constant

in thermodynamic limit: microcanonic \approx canonic; $E = \langle E \rangle$

The two-spin-state system revisited

single particle: $Z_1 = \sum_n e^{-\beta E_n} = 1 + e^{-\beta\epsilon} = 2e^{-\beta\epsilon/2} \cosh \frac{\beta\epsilon}{2}$

$$\Rightarrow Z = \prod_{k=1}^N Z_k = 2^N e^{-N\beta\epsilon/2} \cosh^N \frac{\beta\epsilon}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle E \rangle = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \ln Z = \dots = \frac{N\epsilon}{2} \left(1 - \tanh \frac{\beta\epsilon}{2} \right) = \frac{N\epsilon}{1 + e^{\beta\epsilon}} \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} \frac{\sinh x}{\cosh x} = \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{e^x + e^{-x}} \end{array} \right.$$

$$C_V = \dots$$

Note: the partition function automatically handles the combinatorics

A.3.2 Entropy


MiCE: $S = k_B \ln \Omega(E) = k_B \ln$ of # states

Now: probability distribution over states with different E

Trick: take W identical systems, all coupled to \mathcal{R}

For each system: states $|n\rangle$, say $n = 1 \dots N$

\Rightarrow # of systems in state $|n\rangle$: $p(n)W$, if W large



Consider reservoir + all systems as microcanonical (E_{tot} fixed)

$\Rightarrow \Omega_{\text{tot}} = \Omega_{\mathcal{R}} \Omega_{\mathcal{S}}$

what is $\Omega_{\mathcal{S}}$?

1) List all states $n = 1 \dots N$

2) create $p(n)W$ slots for state n

3) \sum of all slots = W


4) $W! = \#$ of permutations of W systems

= $\#$ of ways to put them into slots

5) $[p(n)W]! = \#$ of permutations of $p(n)W$ systems

= $\#$ of ways to reshuffle systems in state n

without changing the physical setup



$\Rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{S}} = \frac{W!}{\prod_n [p(n)W]!} = \#$ of different ways to get $p(1)W$ systems in state $|1\rangle$,
 $p(2)W$ systems into state $|2\rangle$, etc.

$\Rightarrow S_{\text{tot}} = k_B(\ln \Omega_{\mathcal{R}} + \ln \Omega_{\mathcal{S}})$ | we ignore $S_{\mathcal{R}}$

$\Rightarrow S_{\mathcal{S}} = k_B \ln \Omega_{\mathcal{S}} = \overset{\text{(Stirling)}}{\dots} = -k_B W \sum_n p(n) \ln p(n) =$ entropy of W systems

\Rightarrow one system: $S = -k_B \sum_n p(n) \ln p(n)$ due to Gibbs

Comments:

- $S =$ function of probability distribution
 - MiCE: prob. distr. = $f(\text{Energy}) \Rightarrow S = S(E)$
 - CE: prob. distr. = $f(T)$
 - $p(n) = \frac{1}{\Omega(E)} \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow S = k_B \ln \Omega(E)$
 - $p(n) = \frac{e^{-\beta E_n}}{Z} \Rightarrow S = -\frac{k_B}{Z} \sum_n e^{-\beta E_n} \ln \frac{e^{-\beta E_n}}{Z} = \left[\frac{k_B \beta}{Z} \sum_n E_n e^{-\beta E_n} \right] + k_B \ln Z$
- \Rightarrow $S = k_B \frac{\partial}{\partial T} (T \ln Z)$

MiCE vs. CE

$$S = k_B \ln \Omega(E) \quad \text{vs.} \quad S = -k_B \sum_n p(n) \ln p(n)$$

different probability distributions!

But for $N \rightarrow \infty$ physical observables agree in both ensembles. How?

$$\text{Consider } Z = \sum_n e^{-\beta E_n} = \sum_{\{E_i\}} \Omega(E_i) e^{-\beta E_i}$$

$N \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow \Omega(E_i) e^{-\beta E_i}$ is strongly peaked at $E_i = E_*$ “ $e^{\pm N}$ effect”

\Rightarrow sum in Z dominated by E_* term with max. condition

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial E} [\Omega(E) e^{-\beta E}] \right\}_{E_*} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow Z \approx \Omega(E_*) e^{-\beta E_*}$$

$$\text{We'll need: } \frac{1}{T} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial E} = k_B \frac{\partial \ln \Omega}{\partial E} \Rightarrow \beta = \frac{\partial \ln \Omega}{\partial E}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \langle E \rangle &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \ln Z \\ &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} [\ln \Omega - \beta E_*] \\ &= -\frac{\partial E_*}{\partial \beta} \frac{\partial \ln \Omega}{\partial E_*} + E_* + \beta \frac{\partial E_*}{\partial \beta} \\ &= E_* \quad (!) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{entropy: } S &= k_B \frac{\partial}{\partial T} (T \ln Z) = k_B \frac{\partial}{\partial T} [T(\ln \Omega - \beta E_*)] & \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial T} = -k_B \beta^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \right. \\ &= k_B \ln \Omega - k_B \beta E_* - k_B T k_B \beta^2 \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} (\ln \Omega - \beta E_*)}_{=-E_*} \\ &= k_B \ln \Omega(E_*) \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow CE like MiCE at energy E_* !

Maximizing entropy

both MiCE and CE can be obtained from a variational principle

MiCE: Consider Gibbs entropy $S = -k_B \sum_n p(n) \ln p(n)$

with $p(n) \neq 0$ for states $|n\rangle$ with energy E

constraint: $\sum_n p(n) = 1$

vary $S + \alpha k_B \left[\sum_n p(n) - 1 \right]$; $\alpha =$ Lagrange multiplier

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial p(m)} \left[- \sum_n p(n) \ln p(n) + \alpha \sum_n p(n) - \alpha \right] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow - \ln p(m) - p(m) \frac{1}{p(m)} + \alpha = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln p(m) = \alpha - 1$$

$$\Rightarrow p(m) = e^{\alpha-1} = \text{const} \quad \text{MiCE!}$$

CE: keep $\langle E \rangle$ fixed (example sheet)

A.3.3 Free energy

Def.: Free energy $\boxed{F := E - TS}$ “available energy”

Mathematically: *Legendre trafo*

$$\Rightarrow dF = dE - d(TS) = TdS - pdV - TdS - SdT = -SdT - pdV$$

$$\Rightarrow S = - \left. \frac{\partial F}{\partial T} \right|_V, \quad p = - \left. \frac{\partial F}{\partial V} \right|_T$$

Recall $E = - \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \ln Z, \quad S = k_B \frac{\partial}{\partial T} (T \ln Z), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} = -k_B T^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial T}$

$$\Rightarrow F = E - TS = k_B T^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \ln Z - k_B T \ln Z - k_B T^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \ln Z$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{F = -k_B T \ln Z}$$

A.4 The grand canonical ensemble

A.4.1 The chemical potential

Consider additional quantities of the system: particle number N , electric charge q

$$\Rightarrow S = S(E, V, N) = k_B \ln \Omega(E, V, N)$$

$$\text{recall: } \frac{1}{T} = \left. \frac{\partial S}{\partial E} \right|_{V,N}, \quad p = T \left. \frac{\partial S}{\partial V} \right|_{E,N}$$

Def.: chemical potential $\mu = -T \left. \frac{\partial S}{\partial N} \right|_{V,E}$

repeat argument for T -equilibrium

\Rightarrow systems do not (net-)exchange particles if $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ “chemical equilibrium”

$$\mathbf{1^{st} \text{ law:}} \quad dS = \frac{1}{T}dE + \frac{p}{T}dV - \frac{\mu}{T}dN \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boxed{dE = TdS - pdV + \mu dN}$$

$\Rightarrow \mu =$ “energy cost to add one particle”

For electric charge we would get the electrostatic potential

Comment: $\mu = -T \left. \frac{\partial S}{\partial N} \right|_{V,E}$, but from first law: $\mu = \left. \frac{\partial E}{\partial N} \right|_{S,V}$ Why?

in general: let x, y, z be variables with one constraint

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\left. \frac{\partial x}{\partial y} \right|_z \cdot \left. \frac{\partial y}{\partial z} \right|_x \cdot \left. \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right|_y = -1}$$

for us: $x = E$, $y = N$, $z = S$, constraint: $V = \text{const}$; use $\left. \frac{\partial y}{\partial z} \right|_x = \left(\left. \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \right|_x \right)^{-1}$

If we work at constant temperature rather than energy:

$$\text{we use } dF = -SdT - pdV + \mu dN \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mu = \left. \frac{\partial F}{\partial N} \right|_{T,V}$$

A.4.2 The grand canonical ensemble

GrCE: We now let the system also exchange particles with its environment

\Rightarrow fixed T and μ

Def.: Let a state $|n\rangle$ correspond to energy E_n and particle number N_n

Grand canonical partition function
$$\mathcal{Z}(T, \mu, V) = \sum_n e^{-\beta(E_n - \mu N_n)}$$

\Rightarrow (Sec. 3) \Rightarrow
$$p(n) = \frac{e^{-\beta(E_n - \mu N_n)}}{\mathcal{Z}}$$

Entropy: $S = -k_B \sum_n p(n) \ln p(n) \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow$
$$S = k_B \frac{\partial}{\partial T} (T \ln \mathcal{Z})$$

one also gets:
$$\langle E \rangle - \mu \langle N \rangle = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \ln \mathcal{Z}$$

$$\langle N \rangle = \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \ln \mathcal{Z}$$

$$\Delta N^2 = \frac{1}{\beta^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mu^2} \ln \mathcal{Z} = \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial \langle N \rangle}{\partial \mu}$$

A.4.3 The grand canonical potential

Def.: Grand canonical potential
$$\Phi = F - \mu N$$

$$d\Phi = -SdT - pdV - Nd\mu$$

View $\Phi = \Phi(T, V, \mu)$

with $F = E - TS$, $\Phi = E - TS - \mu N$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} = -k_B T^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial T}$

$$\Rightarrow \Phi = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \ln \mathcal{Z} - T k_B \frac{\partial}{\partial T} (T \ln \mathcal{Z})$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\Phi = -k_B T \ln \mathcal{Z}}$$

Def.: “extensive” quantities scale as system size: E, N, V, S

“intensive” quantities size independent: $\frac{1}{T} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial E}, \quad p = T \frac{\partial S}{\partial V}, \quad \mu = -T \frac{\partial S}{\partial N}$

F is extensive: $F(T, \lambda V, \lambda N) = \lambda F(T, V, N)$

Φ is extensive: $\Phi(T, \lambda V, \mu) = \lambda \Phi(T, V, \mu)$:

only one extensive independent variable!

$\Rightarrow \Phi$ must be $\sim V$!

We know $\left. \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial V} \right|_{T, \mu} = -p \Rightarrow \boxed{\Phi = -p(T, \mu) V}$

A lot of this developed by Josiah Willard Gibbs (1839-1903)

B Classical Gases

gas = particles flying around in a box

classical, but QM is often “there” in the background

we’ll typically use the CE

one particle: $H = \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2m} + U(\vec{q}) = E_{\text{kin}} + E_{\text{pot}}$

state = point in phase space $\{(q_i, p_i)\}$

$\sum \rightarrow \int \Rightarrow$ partition function for one particle $Z_1 = \frac{1}{h^3} \int e^{-\beta H(\vec{p}, \vec{q})} d^3p d^3q$

$$h = 6.6 \cdot 10^{-34} \text{ J s}$$

why h in a classical formula?

B.1 From QM to classical

One particle in 1 dim. in QM: Hamilton operator: $\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + U(\hat{q})$

$$\hat{H} |n\rangle = E_n |n\rangle$$

\uparrow \nwarrow
 Eigenstate energy

Recall identity operator: $\mathbb{1} = \int dq |q\rangle \langle q|$, $\mathbb{1} = \int dp |p\rangle \langle p|$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow Z_1 &= \sum_n e^{-\beta E_n} = \sum_n \langle n | e^{-\beta \hat{H}} | n \rangle \\ &= \sum_n \langle n | \int dq |q\rangle \langle q| e^{-\beta \hat{H}} \int dq' |q'\rangle \langle q'| n \rangle \\ &= \int dq dq' \left\{ \langle q | e^{-\beta \hat{H}} | q' \rangle \sum_n [\langle q' | n \rangle \langle n | q \rangle] \right\} \quad \left| \sum_n |n\rangle \langle n| = \mathbb{1}, \quad \langle q' | q \rangle = \delta(q - q') \right. \\ \Rightarrow Z_1 &= \int dq \langle q | e^{-\beta \hat{H}} | q \rangle \quad \text{“} = \text{Tr } e^{-\beta \hat{H}} \text{”} \end{aligned}$$

Recall from QM: $e^{\hat{A}} e^{\hat{B}} = e^{\hat{A} + \hat{B} + \frac{1}{2}[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] + \dots}$

for us: $\hat{A} = \hat{q}$, $\hat{B} = \hat{p}$, $[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$

$$\Rightarrow e^{-\beta \hat{H}} = e^{-\beta \left[\frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + U(\hat{q}) \right]} = e^{-\beta \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m}} \cdot e^{-\beta U(\hat{q})} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$$

Classical limit: $\hbar \rightarrow 0!$

$$\Rightarrow Z_1 = \int dq \langle q | e^{-\beta \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m}} e^{-\beta U(\hat{q})} | q \rangle \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} |q\rangle \text{ eigenstates to } \hat{q} \Rightarrow U(\hat{q})|q\rangle = U(q)|q\rangle \\ \uparrow \qquad \qquad \uparrow \\ \text{operator} \quad \text{number} \end{array} \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow Z_1 &= \int dq e^{-\beta U(q)} \langle q | e^{-\beta \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m}} | q \rangle \\ &= \int dq dp dp' \left\{ e^{-\beta U(q)} \langle q | p \rangle \langle p | e^{-\beta \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m}} | p' \rangle \langle p' | q \rangle \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Note: $\langle q | p \rangle = \langle p | q \rangle^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} p q}$, $\langle p | p' \rangle = \delta(p - p')$

In 3 dims.:
$$Z_1 = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \int d^3q d^3p e^{-\beta H(\vec{p}, \vec{q})}$$

B.2 Ideal gas

Def.: gas = N particles trapped in box of volume V

“ideal” = particles do not interact, i.e. $U(q) = 0$

“monatomic” = particles have no structure (i.e. no vibration, rotation)

$$\Rightarrow Z_1(V, T) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \int d^3q d^3p e^{-\beta \frac{p^2}{2m}} \quad \left| \quad \int d^3q = V \right.$$

We'll often use
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ax^2} dx = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}}$$

$$\Rightarrow Z_1(V, T) = \frac{V}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \int dp_x dp_y dp_z e^{-\beta \frac{p_x^2}{2m}} e^{-\beta \frac{p_y^2}{2m}} e^{-\beta \frac{p_z^2}{2m}} = V \left(\frac{mk_B T}{2\pi\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \quad \left| \quad a = \frac{\beta}{2m} = \frac{1}{2mk_B T} \right.$$

Def.: thermal de Broglie wavelength $\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{mk_B T}}$

“average de Broglie wavelength at T ”

$\Rightarrow Z_1 = \frac{V}{\lambda^3}$


N indistinguishable particles: $Z(N, V, T) = \frac{Z_1^N}{N!} = \frac{V^N}{\lambda^{3N} N!}$

exchange two particles \rightarrow no new state! Hence $N!$

Recall: $F = -k_B T \ln Z = -k_B T [N \ln V - 3N \ln \lambda - \ln N!]$

$p = -\left. \frac{\partial F}{\partial V} \right|_T = +\frac{Nk_B T}{V} \Rightarrow$ **ideal gas law:** $pV = Nk_B T$

- Comments:**
- all \hbar have disappeared!
 - equations linking p, T, V are called “equations of state” (EOS)
 - T as defined above ($\frac{\partial S}{\partial E}$) is really a “good” temperature!
 - in the lab: deviations at higher densities
expected: $U \neq 0$



B.2.1 Equipartition of energy

energy: $E = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \ln Z = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} [-3N \ln \lambda] = 3N \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln \beta \right] = \frac{3}{2} Nk_B T$

Assume the gas existed in D spatial dimensions

$\Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow Z = \frac{V^D}{\lambda^{DN} N!} \Rightarrow E = \frac{D}{2} Nk_B T$

$\Rightarrow D$ degrees of freedom; each contributes $\frac{Nk_B T}{2}$ to E

equipartition of energy: each degree of freedom contributes $\frac{Nk_B T}{2}$ to E

breaks down for QM systems at small T

For one particle: $\langle E \rangle = \frac{p^2}{2m} \Rightarrow p \sim \sqrt{mE} \sim \sqrt{mk_B T}$

de Broglie wavelength $\lambda_{dB} = \frac{h}{p} \sim \lambda$ up to factors of 2 or π

heat capacity: $C_V = \left. \frac{\partial E}{\partial T} \right|_V = \frac{3}{2} N k_B$

Comment on k_B : Why is it so small?

energy of gas $E \sim N k_B T$

E, T have units where numbers are $\mathcal{O}(1) \Rightarrow N k_B = \mathcal{O}(1)$

stars in universe $\sim 10^{22} < N (!) \Rightarrow k_B \sim \frac{1}{N} \sim 10^{-23}$

Chemist Notation

Def.: Avogadro's number $N_A \equiv \#$ atoms in 12 g of Carbon¹²

1 mol $\equiv N_A$ atoms

ideal gas law: $pV = N k_B T = n \mathcal{R} T$

with: $n \equiv \frac{N}{N_A}$, $\mathcal{R} \equiv N_A k_B \approx 8 \frac{\text{J}}{\text{K mol}}$ "Universal gas constant"

B.2.2 Entropy

Recall entropy for CE: $S = k_B \frac{\partial}{\partial T} (T \ln Z)$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial T} \ln \lambda = \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \ln \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{mk_B T}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \ln T^{-1/2} = -\frac{1}{2T}$$

$$\Rightarrow S = k_B \ln Z + k_B T \frac{\partial}{\partial T} [N \ln V - 3N \ln \lambda - \ln N!]$$

$$= k_B \left[\ln \frac{V^N}{\lambda^{3N}} - \ln N! \right] + k_B T \frac{3N}{2T} \quad \left| \text{Stirling: } \ln N! \approx N \ln N - N \right.$$


$$\approx k_B \left[\ln \frac{V^N}{\lambda^{3N} N^N} + N \right] + \frac{3}{2} N k_B$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{S = Nk_B \left(\ln \frac{V}{\lambda^3 N} + \frac{5}{2} \right)} \quad \text{“Sackur-Tetrode equation”}$$

- Comments:**
- S has \hbar ; classically we only measure $\Delta S \Rightarrow \hbar$ drops out
 - S measures the factor $N!$ in Z

Gibbs noticed this before QM:


Mix “red” and “blue” gas \Rightarrow entropy increases



Mix “red” and “red” gas \Rightarrow entropy does not increase $\rightarrow N!$

B.2.3 The ideal gas in the GrCE

view as subvolume inside larger gas



$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{\text{ideal}}(\mu, V, T) &= \sum_n (e^{\beta N_n \mu} e^{-\beta E_n}) = \sum_N \left[e^{\beta N \mu} \sum_m e^{-\beta E_m} \right] \\ &\quad \swarrow \text{states for fixed } N \\ &= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} [e^{\beta \mu N} \mathcal{Z}_{\text{ideal}}(N, V, T)] = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \left[(e^{\beta \mu})^N \left(\frac{V}{\lambda^3} \right)^N \frac{1}{N!} \right] \\ &= \exp \left(\frac{e^{\beta \mu} V}{\lambda^3} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle N \rangle = N = \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \ln \mathcal{Z} = \frac{e^{\beta \mu} V}{\lambda^3}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu = k_B T \ln \left(\frac{\lambda^3 N}{V} \right)$$

$\frac{V}{N}$ = average volume per particle

$\lambda \approx$ average de Broglie wavelength of particle

$$\lambda^3 \approx \frac{V}{N} \Rightarrow \text{QM effects important}$$

$$\text{classical limit} \Rightarrow \lambda^3 \ll \frac{V}{N} \Rightarrow \mu < 0$$

- Comments:**
- $\mu = \left. \frac{\partial E}{\partial N} \right|_{S,V} = \text{energy cost of adding particle at } \underline{\text{constant } S, V} !$
 - extra particle \Rightarrow more ways of distributing energy
 - $\Rightarrow S$ would increase unless E decreases
 - $\Rightarrow E$ decreases $\Rightarrow \mu < 0$
 - $\mu > 0$ possible for some special cases

Fluctuations: $\Delta N^2 = \frac{1}{\beta^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mu^2} \ln \mathcal{Z} = N$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\Delta N}{N} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ in thermodynamic limit}$$

Recall: $pV = -\Phi = k_B T \ln \mathcal{Z} = k_B T \frac{e^{\beta\mu} V}{\lambda^3} = k_B T N$ ideal gas law !

B.3 Maxwell distribution

Goal: velocity distribution

$$\begin{aligned} \text{1 particle, ideal gas: } Z_1 &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \int e^{-\frac{\beta \vec{p}^2}{2m}} d^3q d^3p & \left| \vec{v} = \frac{\vec{p}}{m} \right. \\ &= \frac{m^3 V}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \int e^{-\beta \frac{m\vec{v}^2}{2}} d^3v \\ &= \frac{4\pi m^3 V}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \int v^2 e^{-\beta \frac{mv^2}{2}} dv \end{aligned}$$


\Rightarrow probability that atom speed in $[v, v + dv]$:

$$\begin{aligned} p(v) &= \mathcal{N} v^2 e^{-\frac{mv^2}{2k_B T}} \\ \mathcal{N} &= 4\pi \left(\frac{m}{2\pi k_B T} \right)^{3/2} \end{aligned}$$

“Maxwell distribution”

$$\Rightarrow \langle v^2 \rangle = \int_0^\infty p(v) v^2 dv = \dots = \frac{3k_B T}{m}$$

equipartition of energy \nearrow



Maxwell's argument: prob. distribution in x dir.: $\phi(v_x)$

rotational symmetry \Rightarrow same in y, z dir.

+ distribution cannot depend on direction

$$\Rightarrow p(\vec{v}) = p\left(\sqrt{v_x^2 + v_y^2 + v_z^2}\right) = p(v)$$

$$\Rightarrow p(v) dv_x dv_y dv_z = \phi(v_x) \phi(v_y) \phi(v_z) dv_x dv_y dv_z$$

It can be shown that the only solution is $\phi(v_x) = Ae^{-Bv_x^2}$

$$\Rightarrow p(v) dv_x dv_y dv_z = 4\pi v^2 p(v) dv = 4\pi A^3 v^2 e^{-Bv^2} dv$$

$$\text{equipartition of energy} \Rightarrow B = \frac{m}{2k_B T}$$

History of kinetic theory

kinetic theory = understanding gas properties through atoms

1783 Bernoulli: pressure = bombardment of atoms

$$\Delta p_x = 2mv_x$$


$$\text{next "hit" after } \Delta t = \frac{2L}{v_x}$$

$$\Rightarrow F = \frac{\Delta p_x}{\Delta t} = \frac{mv_x^2}{L}$$

$$\text{all atoms: } F = \frac{Nm\langle v_x^2 \rangle}{L} = \frac{Nm\langle v^2 \rangle}{3L}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{pressure } p = \frac{F}{A} = \frac{Nm\langle v^2 \rangle}{3L^3} = \frac{Nm\langle v^2 \rangle}{3V} \stackrel{!}{=} \frac{Nk_B T}{V} \quad (\text{gas law})$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}m\langle v^2 \rangle = \frac{3}{2}k_B T$$



1843: Waterson: rejected paper “Thoughts on Mental Functions”

1857: Clausius: rotating, vibrating modes

1859: Maxwell: distribution, gas viscosity independent of density

→ experiment, Cavendish Lab

Boltzmann Eq.: dynamics in phase space → non-equilibrium

B.4 Diatomic gas

Molecules with 2 atoms \approx 2 masses attached to spring

⇒ i) Rotation about 2 axes (ignore symmetry axis)

ii) vibration

⇒ $Z_1 = Z_{\text{trans}} Z_{\text{rot}} Z_{\text{vib}}$



i) Rotation

Lagrangian: $L_{\text{rot}} = \frac{1}{2}I (\dot{\theta}^2 + \sin^2 \theta \dot{\phi}^2)$

⇒ conjugate momenta: $p_{\theta} = \frac{\partial L_{\text{rot}}}{\partial \dot{\theta}} = I\dot{\theta}$, $p_{\phi} = \frac{\partial L_{\text{rot}}}{\partial \dot{\phi}} = I \sin^2 \theta \dot{\phi}$

⇒ Hamiltonian: $H_{\text{rot}} = p_{\theta}\dot{\theta} + p_{\phi}\dot{\phi} - L_{\text{rot}} = \frac{p_{\theta}^2}{2I} + \frac{p_{\phi}^2}{2I \sin^2 \theta}$

⇒ $Z_{\text{rot}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^2} \int e^{-\beta H_{\text{rot}}} dp_{\theta} dp_{\phi} d\theta d\phi$ | $\int e^{-ax^2} dx = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}}$


$$= \frac{2\pi I}{\beta(2\pi\hbar)^2} \int_0^{\pi} \sin \theta d\theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi = \frac{2I}{\beta\hbar^2}$$

⇒ $E_{\text{rot}} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \ln Z_{\text{rot}} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} [-\ln \beta \pm \dots] = \frac{1}{\beta} = k_B T$

\Rightarrow gas with rotation: $Z_1 = Z_{\text{trans}} Z_{\text{rot}} \sim (k_B T)^{5/2}$
 $Z = \frac{Z_1^N}{N!} \Rightarrow E = \frac{5}{2} N k_B T$
 $C_V = \frac{5}{2} N k_B : 3 + 2 \text{ deg. of freedom}$

ii) vibration

harmonic oscillator with frequency ω




$\Rightarrow H_{\text{vib}} = \frac{p_\zeta^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 \zeta^2$
 $\Rightarrow Z_{\text{vib}} = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int e^{-\beta H_{\text{vib}}} dp_\zeta d\zeta$
 $= \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int e^{-\beta \frac{p_\zeta^2}{2m}} e^{-\beta \frac{m\omega^2 \zeta^2}{2}} dp_\zeta d\zeta = \frac{1}{\hbar\beta\omega} = \frac{k_B T}{\hbar\omega}$

$\Rightarrow E_{\text{vib}} = k_B T : 2 \text{ degrees of freedom! potential + motion}$

diatomic gas: $C_V = \frac{7}{2} N k_B$

- Note:**
- I, ω dropped out
 - at lower T : dof's frozen out, e.g. H_2 :

QM effect; visible in *hot gas*!



B.5 Interacting gas

Consider monatomic gas

ideal gas good for small $\frac{N}{V}$

Virial expansion: $\frac{p}{k_B T} = \frac{N}{V} + B_2(T) \frac{N^2}{V^2} + B_3(T) \frac{N^3}{V^3} + \dots$
↙ ↘
virial coefficients


goal: get $B_i(T)$ from first principals, i.e. potential $U(r)$ between atoms

2 features of $U(r)$: • attractive $\frac{1}{r^6}$: dipoles $p_1, p_2 \Rightarrow$ pot. energy $\frac{p_1 p_2}{r^3}$
 instantaneous dipol $p_1 \Rightarrow$ electr. field $E \sim \frac{p_1}{r^3}$
 \Rightarrow induced dipol $p_2 \sim E \sim \frac{p_1}{r^3}$
 “van der Waals interaction”

- strong repulsion from Pauli exclusion

Lennard-Jones potential: $U(r) \sim \left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)^{12} - \left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)^6$; $12 = 6 \cdot 2$ chosen for convenience

hard-core potential: $U(r) = \begin{cases} \infty & r < r_0 \\ -U_0 \left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)^6 & r \geq r_0 \end{cases}$



hard-core easier! Take that...

B.5.1 Mayer f function and B_2

Notation: \vec{r} = particle position (instead of \vec{q})

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\vec{p}_i^2}{2m} + \sum_{i>j} U(r_{ij}); \quad r_{ij} \equiv |\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j|$$

↙
count pairs once!

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow Z(N, V, T) &= \frac{1}{N!} \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^{3N}} \int \prod_{i=1}^N d^3 p_i d^3 r_i e^{-\beta H} \\ &= \frac{1}{N!} \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^{3N}} \left[\int \prod_i d^3 p_i e^{-\beta \sum_j \vec{p}_j^2 / (2m)} \right] \cdot \left[\int \prod_i d^3 r_i e^{-\beta \sum_{j<k} U(r_{jk})} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda^{3N} N!} \underbrace{\int \prod_i d^3 r_i e^{-\beta \sum_{j<k} U(r_{jk})}}_{\text{hard!}} \end{aligned}$$

1st try: Taylor: $e^{-\beta \sum_{j<k} U(r_{jk})} = 1 - \beta \sum_{j<k} U(r_{jk}) + \frac{\beta^2}{2} \sum_{j<k, l<m, l>j} U(r_{jk}) U(r_{lm}) \pm \dots$

problem: for $r_{ij} \rightarrow 0$: $U(r_{ij}) \rightarrow \infty$ not good expansion parameter

2nd try: Mayer f function: $f(r) = e^{-\beta U(r)} - 1$

$$r \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow f(r) \rightarrow 0, \quad r \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow f(r) \rightarrow -1$$

Def.: $f_{ij} \equiv f(r_{ij}) \Rightarrow e^{-\beta \sum_{j<k} U(r_{jk})} = \prod_{j<k} (1 + f_{jk})$

$$\Rightarrow Z(N, V, T) = \frac{1}{N! \lambda^{3N}} \int \prod_i d^3 r_i \left(1 + \sum_{j>k} f_{jk} + \sum_{j>k, l>m, l>j} f_{jk} f_{lm} + \dots \right)$$

1st term: $1 \rightarrow V^N$, ideal gas

2nd term: sum of terms like

$$I_{12} \equiv \int \prod_i d^3 r_i f_{12} = V^{N-2} \int d^3 r_1 d^3 r_2 f(r_{12}) = V^{N-1} \int d^3 r f(r)$$

where $\vec{r} = \vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2$, $\vec{R} = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{r}_1 + \vec{r}_2)$

we were a bit sloppy with limits of integration

but this only matters near the boundary of the system

because $f(r)$ has contributions only for $r \approx$ atomic distances

We have $\frac{1}{2}N(N-1) \approx \frac{N^2}{2}$ terms of type I_{12}

$$\Rightarrow Z(N, V, T) = \frac{V^N}{N! \lambda^{3N}} \left[1 + \frac{N^2}{2V} \int d^3 r f(r) + \dots \right] \quad \left| \quad (1 + \epsilon)^N \approx 1 + N\epsilon \right.$$

$$\approx Z_{\text{ideal}} \left[1 + \frac{N}{2V} \int d^3 r f(r) \right]^N$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{F = -k_B T \ln Z = F_{\text{ideal}} - \underbrace{Nk_B T \ln \left[1 + \frac{N}{2V} \int d^3 r f(r) \right]}_{\rightarrow B_2(T)}}$$

What do we mean with low density?

For Lennard-Jones or hard-core potential one can show: $\int f(r)d^3r \sim r_0^3$

where $r_0 \sim$ location of min. of potential
 \approx atom size

The expansion is valid if higher-order terms are small

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{N}{V}r_0^3 \ll 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{N}{V} \ll \frac{1}{r_0^3}$$

For liquids: atoms packed $\Rightarrow \frac{N}{V} \approx \frac{1}{r_0^3}$

\Rightarrow expansion good for gases at densities much below liquid state

B.5.2 Van der Waals equation of state

$$\begin{aligned} \text{With } \ln(1+x) \approx x \text{ in } F \Rightarrow p &= -\frac{\partial F}{\partial V} = \dots = \frac{Nk_B T}{V} \left(1 - \frac{N}{2V} \int f(r)d^3r + \dots \right) \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{pV}{Nk_B T} = 1 - \frac{N}{2V} \int f(r)d^3r; \quad f(r) = e^{-\beta U(r)} - 1 \end{aligned}$$

Cases:

1) repulsion: $U(r) > 0 \quad \forall_r$ and $U(r \rightarrow \infty) = 0 \Rightarrow f(r) < 0 \Rightarrow p > p_{\text{ideal}}$

2) attraction: $U(r) < 0 \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow p < p_{\text{ideal}}$

3) hard-core: $\int f(r)d^3r = \int_0^{r_0} -1d^3r + \int_{r_0}^{\infty} d^3r \left[e^{+\beta U_0(r_0/r)^6} - 1 \right]$

high T limit: $\beta U_0 \ll 1 \Rightarrow e^{\beta U_0(r_0/r)^6} \approx 1 + \beta U_0 \left(\frac{r_0}{r} \right)^6$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \int f(r)d^3r &\approx -4\pi \int_0^{r_0} r^2 dr + \frac{4\pi U_0}{k_B T} \int_{r_0}^{\infty} \frac{r_0^6}{r^4} dr \\ &= \frac{4\pi r_0^3}{3} \left(\frac{U_0}{k_B T} - 1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{pV}{Nk_B T} = 1 - \frac{N}{V} \left(\frac{a}{k_B T} - b \right)}; \quad a = \frac{2\pi r_0^3 U_0}{3}, \quad b = \frac{2\pi r_0^3}{3}$$

$$\Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow k_B T = \frac{V}{N} \left(p + \frac{N^2}{V^2} a \right) \left(1 + \frac{N}{V} b \right)^{-1} \approx \left(p + \frac{N^2}{V^2} a \right) \left(\frac{V}{N} - b \right)$$

“van der Waals EOS”: valid at low $\frac{N}{V}$, high T

$$\Rightarrow p = \frac{Nk_B T}{V - bN} - a \frac{N^2}{V^2}$$

Comments:

- a depends on $U_0 \Rightarrow$ attractive large r effects \rightarrow smaller p

- b only function of $r_0 \rightarrow$ hard-core repulsion \rightarrow reduces V


- one atom blocks volume $\frac{4}{3}\pi r_0^3$

but $b = \frac{2}{3}\pi r_0^3$ Why?

1st atom has space V ,

2nd atom has space $V - \Omega$, $\Omega = 2b$

etc.



whole configuration space:
$$\prod_{m=0}^{N-1} (V - m\Omega) \approx V^N \left(1 - \frac{N^2 \Omega}{2V} + \dots \right) \quad \left| \quad \Omega \ll V \right.$$

$$\approx \left(V - N \frac{\Omega}{2} \right)^N = (V - Nb)^N$$

- Our method can only handle potentials

$U(r) \sim \frac{1}{r^n}$ with $n > 3$. Otherwise divergent integral of $f(r)$

- 2nd virial term of van der Waals EOS: $B_2(T) = - \left(\frac{a}{k_B T} - b \right)$

- Higher-order virial coefficients: e.g. *cluster expansion*,

cf. Sec. 2.5.3 in David Tong’s notes [2]

- More on van der Waals in Sec. E (Phase transitions)

C Quantum Gases

gases where QM effects are important; includes light, phonons,...

C.1 Density of states

often convenient to $\sum_n \rightarrow \int \dots dE$; requires density of states

ideal QM gas: no interaction


model particles as plane waves $\psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} e^{i\vec{k}\vec{x}}$

impose periodic boundary conditions

(the physics can be shown not to depend on the BCs)

$$\Rightarrow k_i = \frac{2\pi n_i}{L}, \quad n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\wedge E_{\vec{n}} = \frac{\hbar^2 \vec{k}^2}{2m} = \frac{4\pi^2 \hbar^2}{2mL^2} (n_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_3^2), \quad k = |\vec{k}|, \quad \hat{p} = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$$



one particle: $Z_1 = \sum_{\vec{n}} e^{-\beta E_{\vec{n}}}$

$$\text{recall } \lambda = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{mk_B T}} \Rightarrow \text{exponent } \frac{E_{\vec{n}}}{k_B T} = \beta \frac{\hbar^2 \vec{k}^2}{2m} \sim \frac{\lambda^2 \vec{n}^2}{L^2}$$

for macroscopic box: $\lambda \ll L \Rightarrow$ many \vec{n} with $E_{\vec{n}} \leq k_B T$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{\vec{n}} \approx \int d^3 n = \frac{L^3}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3 k = \frac{4\pi V}{(2\pi)^3} \int k^2 dk$$

$$E = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} \Rightarrow dE = \frac{\hbar^2 k}{m} dk$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{\vec{n}} \approx \frac{V}{2\pi^2} \int \sqrt{\frac{2mE}{\hbar^2}} \frac{m}{\hbar^2} dE =: \int g(E) dE,$$

$$g(E) = \frac{V}{4\pi^2} \left(\frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \sqrt{E}$$

- Comments:**
- $g(E)dE = \#$ of states in energy interval $[E, E + dE)$
 - looks classical, but useful for many QM systems!

C.1.1 Relativistic systems

all that changes is $E = \sqrt{\hbar^2 k^2 c^2 + m^2 c^4}$ instead of $\frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m}$

$$\Rightarrow dE = \frac{\hbar^2 k c^2}{E} dk$$

$$\Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow k^2 dk = \frac{E dE}{\hbar^2 c^2} \frac{\sqrt{E^2 - m^2 c^4}}{\hbar c}$$

$$\Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow \boxed{g(E) = \frac{V E}{2\pi^2 \hbar^3 c^3} \sqrt{E^2 - m^2 c^4}}$$

for massless particles: $g(E) = \frac{V E^2}{2\pi^2 \hbar^3 c^3}$

C.2 Photons: Blackbody Radiation

light = gas of photons

color of light at $T =$ color of any object at T (equilibrium!)

if we ignore the atomic makeup (absorption lines, emission lines),

→ “blackbody radiation” because at $T = 0$ such a body is black

Photons: wavelength λ , frequency $\omega = \frac{2\pi c}{\lambda} = kc$

energy $E = \hbar\omega$; $m = 0$

2 polarization states (transverse) $\Rightarrow g(E)$ picks up extra factor of 2

$$\Rightarrow g(E) dE = \frac{V E^2}{\pi^2 \hbar^3 c^3} dE = \underbrace{\frac{V \omega^2}{\pi^2 c^3}}_{=: \tilde{g}(\omega)} d\omega$$

$\tilde{g}(\omega) = \#$ states for a single photon with frequency $\in [\omega, \omega + d\omega)$

Note: photons are not conserved! Unlike atoms

→ one could work in GrCE with $\mu = 0$. In this case $\Phi = F$

and our above relations of E, F, Φ to Z or \mathcal{Z} become the same.

We'll stick with CE notation.

partition function for photons in fixed state n with ω_n :

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\omega_n} &= 1 + e^{-\beta\hbar\omega_n} + e^{-2\beta\hbar\omega_n} + \dots = \text{“summing over all } N\text{”} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\beta\hbar\omega_n}} \end{aligned}$$

take all frequencies \Rightarrow the Z multiply \Rightarrow the $\ln Z$ add up

$$\Rightarrow \ln Z = \int_0^\infty \tilde{g}(\omega) \ln Z_\omega d\omega = -\frac{V}{\pi^2 c^3} \int_0^\infty \omega^2 \ln(1 - e^{-\beta\hbar\omega}) d\omega$$


C.2.1 Planck distribution

energy: $E = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta} \ln Z = \frac{V\hbar}{\pi^2 c^3} \int_0^\infty \frac{\omega^3}{e^{\beta\hbar\omega} - 1} d\omega = \int_0^\infty E(\omega) d\omega$

$\Rightarrow E(\omega) = \frac{V\hbar}{\pi^2 c^3} \frac{\omega^3}{e^{\beta\hbar\omega} - 1}$ photon energy density in frequency space

Comments:

- as T decreases:
 - ω_{\max} decreases, λ_{\max} increases
- $\omega_{\max} = \zeta \frac{k_B T}{\hbar}$,
 - where $3 - \zeta = 3e^{-\zeta} \Rightarrow \zeta \approx 2.822$
 - “Wien’s displacement law”
 - color of object at T



$$\text{total energy: } E = \frac{V}{\pi^2 c^3} \frac{(k_B T)^4}{\hbar^3} \underbrace{\int_0^\infty \frac{x^3}{e^x - 1} dx}_{= \dots = \frac{\pi^4}{15}} \quad \left| \quad x = \beta \hbar \omega \right.$$


$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{E}{V} = \frac{\pi^2 k_B^4}{15 \hbar^3 c^3} T^4}$$

$$\text{energy flux from object = luminosity: } \boxed{L = \frac{E}{V} \frac{c}{4} = \sigma T^4 ; \quad \sigma = \frac{\pi^2 k_B^4}{60 \hbar^3 c^2} = 5.67 \times 10^{-8} \frac{\text{J}}{\text{m}^2 \text{s K}}}$$

“Stefan-Boltzmann law” (cf. Reif [1] for details)

Comments:

- factor c because it is flux
- 2 factors of $\frac{1}{2}$: a) flux goes only away from object, not into it
b) angular factor in integral



$$\text{pressure: } F = -k_B T \ln Z = \frac{V k_B T}{\pi^2 c^3} \int_0^\infty \omega^2 \ln(1 - e^{-\beta \hbar \omega}) d\omega \quad \left| \quad \text{int. by parts} \right.$$

$$= -\frac{V \hbar}{3 \pi^2 c^3} \int_0^\infty \frac{\omega^3 e^{-\beta \hbar \omega}}{1 - e^{-\beta \hbar \omega}} d\omega$$

$$= -\frac{V \hbar}{3 \pi^2 c^3} \frac{1}{\beta^4 \hbar^4} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^3}{e^x - 1} = -\frac{V \pi^2}{45 \hbar^3 c^3} (k_B T)^4$$

$$\Rightarrow p = -\left. \frac{\partial F}{\partial V} \right|_T = \frac{1}{3} \frac{E}{V} = \frac{4}{3} \frac{\sigma}{c} T^4$$

Note: photon pressure = $\frac{1}{3}$ photon energy; important in cosmology!

$$\text{We also get: } S = -\left. \frac{\partial F}{\partial T} \right|_V = \frac{16V\sigma}{3c} T^3, \quad C_V = \left. \frac{\partial E}{\partial T} \right|_V = \frac{16V\sigma}{c} T^3$$

C.2.2 Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB)


CMB = afterglow after big bang

measured by COBE, WMAP, PLANCK

CMB \approx 2.725 K blackbody radiation (within 10^{-5})

photons have travelled for \sim 13.7 billion years

the disagreement \rightarrow exciting physics



C.2.3 The birth of QM

Consider the classical limit of Planck's formula:

$$E(\omega) = \frac{V\hbar}{\pi^2 c^3} \frac{\omega^3}{e^{\beta\hbar\omega} - 1} \approx \frac{V\hbar}{\pi^2 c^3} \frac{\omega^3}{\beta\hbar\omega} = \underbrace{\frac{V\omega^2 k_B T}{\pi^2 c^3}}_{= E_{RJ}(\omega)} \quad \left| \quad x \ll 1 \Rightarrow e^x \approx 1 + x \right.$$

"Rayleigh-Jeans"

Problem: $\int_0^\infty E_{RJ}(\omega) d\omega$ diverges: "ultraviolet catastrophe"

QM: for $\hbar\omega \gg k_B T$, the temperature cannot excite even one photon.

states "frozen out"

Planck used $E = \hbar\omega$ and Boltzmann statistics \Rightarrow first hint of QM


C.3 Phonons

vibrations of crystal = sound waves

QM: electromagnetic waves \mapsto photons

QM: sound waves \mapsto phonons

phonon energy: $E = \hbar\omega = \hbar kc_s$ (speed of sound)



differences from photons:

- c_s instead of c
- 3 polarization states; also longitudinal
- upper frequency limit:

$$\lambda = \frac{2\pi c_s}{\omega} < L \Rightarrow \text{no shaking possible}$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega < \omega_D \quad \text{“Debye frequency”}$$

We expect $\omega_D \sim \left(\frac{N}{V}\right)^{1/3} c_s$, but what is the prop. constant?

Debye: total number of atoms: N ; each atom has 3 directions of movement

$\Rightarrow 3N$ ways of moving

set $3N = \int_0^{\omega_D} \tilde{g}(\omega) d\omega$ which is the number of states available for one phonon

$$\Rightarrow 3N = \int_0^{\omega_D} \frac{3V\omega^2}{2\pi^2 c_s^3} d\omega = \frac{V\omega_D^3}{2\pi^2 c_s^3} \Rightarrow \boxed{\omega_D = \left(\frac{6\pi^2 N}{V}\right)^{1/3} c_s}$$

Def.: Debye temperature $T_D = \frac{\hbar\omega_D}{k_B} =$ temperature at which highest-frequency states become excited

$T_D \approx 100$ K for lead, 2000 K for diamond, $\approx 200 \dots 400$ K for many materials

phonons are not conserved → same game as for photons:

$$Z_\omega = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\beta\hbar\omega}}, \quad \ln Z_{\text{phonon}} = \int_0^{\omega_D} \tilde{g}(\omega) \ln Z_\omega d\omega$$

$$\Rightarrow E = \int_0^{\omega_D} \hbar\omega \frac{\tilde{g}(\omega)}{e^{\beta\hbar\omega} - 1} d\omega = \frac{3V\hbar}{2\pi^2 c_s^3} \int_0^{\omega_D} \frac{\omega^3}{e^{\beta\hbar\omega} - 1} d\omega \quad \left| \quad x = \beta\hbar\omega \right.$$

$$\Rightarrow E = \frac{3V(k_B T)^4}{2\pi^2(\hbar c_s)^3} \int_0^{T_D/T} \frac{x^3}{e^x - 1} dx$$

no analytic solution

Limits: 1) $T \ll T_D \Rightarrow$ upper int. limit $\approx \infty$: $\int_0^\infty \frac{x^3}{e^x - 1} dx = \frac{\pi^4}{15}$

$$\Rightarrow C_V = \left. \frac{\partial E}{\partial T} \right|_V = \frac{2\pi^2 V k_B^4}{5(\hbar c_s)^3} T^3 = N k_B \frac{12\pi^4}{5} \left(\frac{T}{T_D} \right)^3$$

2) $T \gg T_D \Rightarrow$ Taylor expand integrand


$$\Rightarrow \int_0^{T_D/T} \frac{x^3}{e^x - 1} dx \approx \int_0^{T_D/T} (x^2 + \dots) dx = \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{T_D}{T} \right)^3 + \dots$$

$$\Rightarrow E \sim T \quad \wedge \quad C_V = \frac{V k_B^4 T_D^3}{2\pi^2 \hbar^3 c_s^3} = 3N k_B \quad (\text{with } N \text{ above})$$

Comments: • The high T behaviour known since early 1800s:


“Dulong-Petit law”

- Debye’s ω_D reproduces the “ $3N$ ”
- Debye’s new contribution was limit 1)



C.4 The diatomic gas revisited

Recall Figure for C_V : rotation, vibration frozen out at low T



1) Rotation

$$H = \frac{p_\theta^2}{2I} + \frac{p_\phi^2}{2I \sin^2 \theta}$$

$$\text{QM} \Rightarrow E \text{ levels: } E = \frac{\hbar^2}{2I} j(j+1); \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

degeneracy $2j+1$ (L_z quantum numbers)

$$\Rightarrow Z_{\text{rot}} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2j+1) e^{-\beta \hbar^2 j(j+1)/(2I)}$$

$$\text{a) } T \gg \frac{\hbar^2}{2Ik_B} \Rightarrow \frac{\beta \hbar^2}{2I} \ll 1$$

$$\Rightarrow Z_{\text{rot}} \approx \int_0^{\infty} (2x+1) e^{-\beta \hbar^2 x(x+1)/(2I)} dx = \frac{2I}{\beta \hbar^2} = Z_{\text{classic}}$$

$$\text{b) } T \ll \frac{\hbar^2}{2Ik_B} \Rightarrow Z_{\text{rot}} \approx 1$$

T insufficient to excite even the 1st state above ground level.

Also explains why monatomic gas has no rot. degree of freedom:

$$I \text{ tiny} \rightarrow T \ll \frac{\hbar^2}{2Ik_B} \Rightarrow \text{“frozen out for almost all } T\text{”}$$

2) Vibration

$$\text{harmonic oscillator: } E_n = \hbar\omega \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow Z_{\text{vib}} = \sum_n e^{-\beta \hbar\omega (n + \frac{1}{2})} = e^{-\beta \hbar\omega/2} \sum_n e^{-\beta \hbar\omega n} = \frac{e^{-\beta \hbar\omega/2}}{1 - e^{-\beta \hbar\omega}} = \frac{1}{2 \sinh \frac{\beta \hbar\omega}{2}}$$

$$\text{a) } T \gg \frac{\hbar\omega}{k_B} \Rightarrow Z_{\text{vib}} \approx \frac{1}{\beta\hbar\omega} = Z_{\text{class}}$$

$$\text{b) } T \ll \frac{\hbar\omega}{k_B} \Rightarrow Z_{\text{vib}} \approx e^{-\beta\hbar\omega/2} = \text{contribution from zero-point energy}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{energy offset } E_{\text{vib}} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta} \ln Z_{\text{vib}} = \frac{\hbar\omega}{2}, \text{ but no contribution to } C_V$$

C.5 Bosons

QM: 2 types of particles: 1) bosons: integer spin: $\psi(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) = \psi(\vec{r}_2, \vec{r}_1)$

2) fermions: $\frac{1}{2}$ -integer spin: $\psi(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) = -\psi(\vec{r}_2, \vec{r}_1)$

p, n, e fermions \Rightarrow odd # of p, n \rightarrow fermions, e.g. He³

even # of p, n \rightarrow bosons, e.g. He⁴

$$\text{thermal de Broglie } \lambda = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{mk_B T}}$$

T small \Rightarrow λ large; eventually \approx particle separation $\left(\frac{V}{N}\right)^{1/3}$

\Rightarrow QM important

Here: only monatomic gases, non-interacting

C.5.1 Bose-Einstein (BE) distribution

Notation: state: $|r\rangle$, # of particles in state $|r\rangle$: n_r

particles indistinguishable

\Rightarrow system described by $n_1 \equiv$ # of particles in state $|1\rangle$, n_2, n_3, \dots

CE: $Z = \sum_{\{n_r\}} e^{-\beta \sum_r n_r E_r}$; sum over all states $\{n_r\}$ with $\sum_r n_r = N$; Tricky!

GrCE: chem. potential μ , N can fluctuate

any state can be occupied by any # of particles

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_r = \sum_{n_r} e^{-\beta n_r (E_r - \mu)} = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\beta(E_r - \mu)}}$$

$$\text{“} \sum_{\text{states}} \# \text{ particles in state”} = \text{“} \sum_{\text{particles}} \text{ states of particles”}$$

converges only if $E_r - \mu > 0$. We fix $E_0 = 0 \Rightarrow$ BE gas needs $\mu < 0$.

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\mathcal{Z} = \prod_r \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\beta(E_r - \mu)}}$$

$$\Rightarrow N = \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \ln \mathcal{Z} = \sum_r \frac{1}{e^{\beta(E_r - \mu)} - 1} =: \sum_r \langle n_r \rangle ; \quad \boxed{\langle n_r \rangle = \frac{1}{e^{\beta(E_r - \mu)} - 1}}$$

BE distribution

TD limit $\Rightarrow n_r \approx \langle n_r \rangle$

cf. photons, phonons which are bosons!

Def.: “fugacity” $\zeta \equiv e^{\beta\mu}$

BE gas: $\mu < 0 \Rightarrow 0 < \zeta < 1$

Ideal BE gas

$$1 \text{ particle: } E = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m}$$

Recall: # of states in $[E, E + de)$ for one particle: $g(E)dE = \frac{V}{4\pi^2} \left(\frac{2m}{\hbar^2}\right)^{3/2} \sqrt{E} dE$

$$\rightarrow N = \sum_r \langle n_r \rangle = \int g(E) \langle n_r \rangle dE = \int \frac{g(E)}{\zeta^{-1} e^{\beta E} - 1} dE = N(\mu, T)$$

Comment: in practice N often fixed, e.g. # of He⁴; GrCE chosen for convenience!

\Rightarrow we often need to invert $N = N(\mu, T)$ to $\mu = \mu(T, N)$;

cf. ideal gas in GrCE

$$\text{Energy: } E_{\text{tot}} = \int \frac{E g(E)}{\zeta^{-1} e^{\beta E} - 1} dE$$

pressure: $p = -\frac{\Phi}{V}$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow pV &= \frac{1}{\beta} \ln \mathcal{Z} = -\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_r \ln [1 - \zeta e^{-\beta E_r}] \\ &= -\frac{1}{\beta} \int \underbrace{g(E)}_{u'} \underbrace{\ln [1 - \zeta e^{-\beta E}]}_v dE \end{aligned}$$

int. by parts, $g(E) \sim E^{1/2}$

$$\Rightarrow pV = \frac{2}{3} \int \frac{E g(E)}{\zeta^{-1} e^{\beta E} - 1} dE = \frac{2}{3} E_{\text{tot}}$$

Problem: we still need integrals $\int \frac{g(E)}{\zeta^{-1} e^{\beta E} - 1} dE, \quad \int \frac{E g(E)}{\zeta^{-1} e^{\beta E} - 1} dE$

C.5.2 QM gas at high T

Let us first consider the limit $\zeta = e^{\beta\mu} \ll 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \frac{N}{V} &= \frac{1}{V} \int \frac{g(E)}{\zeta^{-1} e^{\beta E} - 1} dE = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left(\frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \int_0^\infty \frac{E^{1/2}}{\zeta^{-1} e^{\beta E} - 1} dE \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left(\frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \int_0^\infty \frac{\zeta e^{-\beta E} \sqrt{E}}{1 - \zeta e^{-\beta E}} dE \quad \left| \quad x = \beta E \right. \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left(\frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \frac{\zeta}{\beta^{3/2}} \int_0^\infty \sqrt{x} e^{-x} (1 + \zeta e^{-x} + \dots) dx \quad \left| \quad \text{solve integral with } x = u^2 \right. \\ \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow \frac{N}{V} &= \frac{\zeta}{\lambda^3} \left(1 + \frac{\zeta}{2\sqrt{2}} + \dots \right) \end{aligned} \tag{*}$$

What does $\zeta \ll 1$ mean?

Evidently $\frac{\lambda^3 N}{V} \ll 1 \Rightarrow \lambda \ll \text{particle distance} \Rightarrow \text{high } T \text{ expansion}$

Note: appears surprising: $T \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow \beta \rightarrow 0$, so $\zeta = e^{\beta\mu}$ should go to 1?

No! Change T at constant $N \Rightarrow \mu$ changes: $\frac{N}{V} = \text{const} \approx \frac{\zeta}{\lambda^3}$

$\Rightarrow \zeta \sim T^{-3/2}, \mu \rightarrow -\infty$

High T EOS of BE gas

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{E}{V} &= \frac{1}{V} \int_0^\infty \frac{E g(E)}{\zeta^{-1} e^{\beta E} - 1} dE = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left(\frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \int_0^\infty \frac{E^{3/2}}{\zeta^{-1} e^{\beta E} - 1} dE \quad \left| \quad x = \beta E, \quad \zeta \ll 1 \right. \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left(\frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \frac{\zeta}{\beta^{5/2}} \int_0^\infty x^{3/2} e^{-x} (1 + \zeta e^{-x} + \dots) dx \\
&= \dots = \frac{3\zeta}{2\lambda^3 \beta} \left(1 + \frac{\zeta}{4\sqrt{2}} + \dots \right) \quad (**)
\end{aligned}$$

Eliminate ζ in (*) and (**) for $\zeta \ll 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(*) \Rightarrow \zeta &\approx \frac{\lambda^3 N}{V} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \frac{\lambda^3 N}{V} + \dots \right) \\
\Rightarrow \frac{E}{V} &= \frac{3}{2} \frac{N}{\beta V} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \frac{\lambda^3 N}{V} + \dots \right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} \frac{\lambda^3 N}{V} + \dots \right) \\
\Rightarrow pV &\stackrel{!}{=} \frac{2}{3} E = Nk_B T \left(1 - \underbrace{\frac{\lambda^3 N}{4\sqrt{2}V}}_{= B_2(T)} + \dots \right) \\
&= 2^{\text{nd}} \text{ virial term; not from interactions but QM statistics}
\end{aligned}$$

BE statistics reduce pressure in the high T limit

C.5.3 Bose Einstein condensation

Def.: Gamma function: $\Gamma(n) \equiv \int_0^\infty u^{n-1} e^{-u} du$; $\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}$

Def.: polylogarithms: $g_n(z) \equiv \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{n-1}}{z^{-1} e^x - 1} dx$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow g_n(z) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int \frac{z x^{n-1} e^{-x}}{1 - z e^{-x}} dx = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} z \int \left[x^{n-1} e^{-x} \sum_{m=0}^\infty z^m e^{-mx} \right] dx \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \sum_{m=1}^\infty z^m \int x^{n-1} e^{-mx} dx = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{z^m}{m^n} \underbrace{\int u^{n-1} e^{-u} du}_{=\Gamma(n)} \quad \left| \quad u = mx \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow g_n(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^m}{m^n} \quad \text{monotonically increasing with } z$$

Def.: Zeta function $\hat{\zeta}(s) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$

$$\Rightarrow g_n(1) = \hat{\zeta}(n); \quad g_{3/2} = \hat{\zeta}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \approx 2.612$$

Recall: $\frac{N}{V} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left(\frac{2m}{\hbar^2\beta}\right)^{3/2} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{1/2}}{\zeta^{-1}e^x - 1} dx = \frac{1}{\lambda^3} g_{3/2}(\zeta)$ (*)

For fixed $\frac{N}{V}$: decrease $T \Rightarrow \lambda$ increases $\Rightarrow g_{3/2}(\zeta)$ must increase $\Rightarrow \zeta$ increases

Def.: $T_c \equiv$ temperature where (*) gives $\zeta = 1$:

$$T_c = \left(\frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{k_B m}\right) \left(\frac{1}{g_{3/2}(1)} \frac{N}{V}\right)^{2/3}; \quad \lambda_c \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{k_B T_c m}} = \left[g_{3/2}(1) \frac{V}{N}\right]^{1/3}$$

Note: We shall see that (*) is not correct, so we do not have $\zeta = 1$ at $T = T_c$!

Problem: ζ must be < 1 . What happens at $T \leq T_c$?

According to (*): λ increases $\Rightarrow N$ decreases,

but particles don't disappear through cooling!

What's wrong?

Answer: we used $\sum_k \approx \frac{V}{4\pi^2} \left(\frac{2m}{\hbar^2}\right)^{3/2} \int \sqrt{E} dE$

The ground state $E = 0$ doesn't contribute to \int but should be in \sum

\Rightarrow The "missing" particles are in the ground state:

$$\langle n_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{e^{\beta(E_0 - \mu)} - 1} = \frac{1}{\zeta^{-1} - 1} = \frac{\zeta}{1 - \zeta}$$

For most $\zeta \in (0, 1)$, $\langle n_0 \rangle$ is small, but for $\zeta \approx 1 - \frac{1}{N}$, $\langle n_0 \rangle \approx N$

\Rightarrow we must correct (*): $N = \frac{V}{\lambda^3} g_{3/2}(\zeta) + \frac{\zeta}{1 - \zeta}$

$T < T_c \Rightarrow \zeta$ close to 1

$$\Rightarrow N \approx \frac{V}{\lambda^3} g_{3/2}(1) + \langle n_0 \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{n_0}{N} = 1 - \frac{V}{N\lambda^3} g_{3/2}(1) = 1 - \left(\frac{\lambda_c}{\lambda}\right)^3 = 1 - \left(\frac{T}{T_c}\right)^{3/2}$$

\Rightarrow at $T < T_c$ a macroscopic number of particles is in the ground state


“BE condensation”

First experimental BE cond. in 1995:

Rb, Na, Li, $N \sim 10^4 \dots 10^7$ atoms, $T_c \sim 10^{-7}$ K

\rightarrow 2001 Nobel Prize

Peak: Ground state in momentum space



EOS: Recall $pV = \frac{2}{3} E_{\text{tot}} = \frac{2}{3} \int \frac{E g(E)}{\zeta^{-1} e^{\beta E} - 1} dE = \frac{k_B T V}{\lambda^3} g_{5/2}(\zeta)$

Comment: The ground-state contribution can be shown to be negligible

$$T < T_c \Rightarrow \zeta \approx 1 \Rightarrow p = \frac{k_B T}{\lambda^3} g_{5/2}(1)$$

Note: $p \sim T^{5/2}$ and p independent of particle density $\frac{N}{V}$

C.5.4 Heat capacity: A first look at phase transitions

Let us consider C_V near T_c

Recall: $\frac{E_{\text{tot}}}{V} = \frac{3}{2} p = \frac{3}{2} \frac{k_B T}{\lambda^3} g_{5/2}(\zeta) \sim T^{5/2}$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{C_V}{V} = \frac{1}{V} \frac{\partial E_{\text{tot}}}{\partial T} = \frac{15}{4} \frac{k_B}{\lambda^3} g_{5/2}(\zeta) + \frac{3}{2} \frac{k_B T}{\lambda^3} \frac{d g_{5/2}}{d \zeta} \frac{d \zeta}{d T}$$

Case 1: $T < T_c$

$$\zeta \approx 1 \Rightarrow \frac{d\zeta}{dT} \approx 0 \text{ and } C_V \approx \frac{15}{4} \frac{V k_B}{\lambda^3} g_{5/2}(1)$$

Case 2: $T \gtrsim T_c$

$$g_n(\zeta) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta^m}{m^n} \Rightarrow \frac{dg_n}{d\zeta} = \frac{1}{\zeta} g_{n-1}(\zeta)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dg_{5/2}}{d\zeta} = \zeta^{-1} g_{3/2}(\zeta); \text{ as } T \rightarrow T_c: \frac{dg_{5/2}}{d\zeta} \rightarrow g_{3/2}(1)$$

We still need $\frac{d\zeta}{dT}$

For $T > T_c$, (*) is still valid: $\frac{N\lambda^3}{V} = g_{3/2}(\zeta)$

(say $\zeta = 1 - 10^{-6} \Rightarrow n_0 \approx 10^6 \Rightarrow \zeta \approx 1 \wedge \frac{n_0}{N} \approx 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dg_{3/2}}{d\zeta} &= \frac{1}{\zeta} g_{1/2} = \frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1/2)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{-1/2}}{\zeta^{-1} e^x - 1} dx \quad \text{diverges for } \zeta \rightarrow 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1/2)} \int_0^{\epsilon} \frac{x^{-1/2}}{\zeta^{-1}(1+x) - 1} dx + \text{finite} \quad \left| e^x \approx 1+x \right. \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1/2)} \int_0^{\epsilon} \frac{x^{-1/2}}{(1-\zeta)+x} dx + \dots \quad \left| u = \sqrt{\frac{x}{1-\zeta}} \right. \\ &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{1-\zeta}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1/2)} \int_0^{\epsilon} \frac{1}{1+u^2} du + \dots \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow Series expansion: $g_{3/2}(\zeta) = g_{3/2}(1) + A\sqrt{1-\zeta} + \dots$

$\Rightarrow \frac{N\lambda^3}{V} = g_{3/2}(\zeta) \approx g_{3/2}(1) + A\sqrt{1-\zeta}$

$\Rightarrow \zeta \approx 1 - \frac{1}{A^2} \left[g_{3/2}(1) - \frac{N\lambda^3}{V} \right]^2$

Recall: $T_c = \frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{k_B m} \left[\frac{1}{g_{3/2}(1)} \frac{N}{V} \right]^{2/3} \Rightarrow \left(\frac{T_c}{T} \right)^{3/2} = \frac{\lambda^3 N}{V} \frac{1}{g_{3/2}(1)}$

$$\Rightarrow \zeta \approx 1 - \frac{[g_{3/2}(1)]^2}{A^2} \left[1 - \left(\frac{T_c}{T} \right)^{3/2} \right]^2 \approx 1 - B \left(\frac{T - T_c}{T_c} \right)^2 \quad \left| \quad T = T_c(1 + \epsilon) \right.$$

So for $T \gtrsim T_c$: $C_V = \frac{15 k_B V}{4 \lambda^3} g_{5/2}(\zeta) + \tilde{b} \frac{d\zeta}{dT}$; \tilde{b} finite > 0

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{C_V = \frac{15 k_B V}{4 \lambda^3} g_{5/2}(\zeta) - b \frac{T - T_c}{T_c}} \quad \text{with } b > 0$$

Comments: • The first term smoothly goes over to the result of Case 1) for $T < T_c$

• The second term goes to zero as $T \rightarrow T_c$, but with finite slope

$\Rightarrow C_V$ has discontinuous deriv.


• Recall: $\langle n_0 \rangle = \frac{\zeta}{1 - \zeta}$, for $T < T_c$: $\frac{n_0}{N} = 1 - \left(\frac{T}{T_c} \right)^{3/2}$

$$\Rightarrow \zeta = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n_0} \right)^{-1} = \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{[1 - (T/T_c)^{3/2}]} \right\}^{-1} \quad \text{for } T < T_c$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d\zeta}{dT} \sim \frac{1}{N} \quad \text{for } T < T_c$$

whereas $\frac{d\zeta}{dT} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ for $T > T_c$

\Rightarrow true discontinuity and phase transition only in TD limit



Superfluid Helium-4


He⁴: bosons

superfluid transition at $t = 2.17$ K

“λ transition”

superfluidity results from interaction of particles

not the same, but related to non-interacting BE cond.



C.6 Fermions

non-interacting fermions: e^- , in metals, He^3 , white dwarfs, neutron stars, ...

fermions: $(\text{integer} + \frac{1}{2})$ spin, $\psi(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) = -\psi(\vec{r}_2, \vec{r}_1) \Rightarrow$ Pauli exclusion

GrCE: $\mathcal{Z}_r = \sum_{n=0,1} e^{-\beta n(E_r - \mu)} = 1 + e^{-\beta(E_r - \mu)}$: state occupied or not

$$\mathcal{Z} = \prod_r \mathcal{Z}_r$$

$$\Rightarrow N = \langle N \rangle = \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \ln \mathcal{Z} = \sum_r \frac{1}{e^{\beta(E_r - \mu)} + 1} = \sum_r \langle n_r \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\langle n_r \rangle = \frac{1}{e^{\beta(E_r - \mu)} + 1}} \quad \text{“Fermi-dirac” (FD) distribution}$$

μ can be positive or negative; unlike BE!

C.6.1 Ideal Fermi gas

$$E = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m}, \quad \text{one particle}$$

degeneracy for spin s : $g_s = 2s + 1$; e.g. e^- : $g_s = 2$

$$\Rightarrow g(E) = \frac{g_s V}{4\pi^2} \left(\frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} E^{1/2}$$

$$N = \int \frac{g(E)}{\zeta^{-1} e^{\beta E} + 1} dE, \quad E_{\text{tot}} = \int \frac{E g(E)}{\zeta^{-1} e^{\beta E} + 1} dE,$$

$$pV = -\Phi = \frac{1}{\beta} \ln \mathcal{Z} = \frac{1}{\beta} \int g(E) \ln(1 + \zeta e^{-\beta E}) dE = \frac{2}{3} E_{\text{tot}} \quad \left| \text{int by parts} \right.$$

$$\text{high } T \Rightarrow \text{Sec. 5.2} \Rightarrow pv = Nk_B T \left(1 + \underbrace{\frac{\lambda^3 N}{4\sqrt{2}g_s V}}_{=B_2(T)} + \dots \right)$$

virial coeff. $B_2(T) > 0$: QM statistics \rightarrow increase p

C.6.2 Degenerate Fermi gas and the Fermi surface

$$T \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \text{FD distr. simple: } \frac{1}{e^{\beta(E-\mu)} + 1} \rightarrow \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } E < \mu \\ 0 & \text{for } E > \mu \end{cases}$$

\Rightarrow Each Fermion falls to the lowest available state

Def.: Fermi energy $E_F \equiv \mu(T=0)$ at fixed N
 = energy limit of occupied states at $T=0$


Def.: Fermi temperature $T_F \equiv \frac{E_F}{k_B}$

$\sim 10^4$ K for e^- in metal ; $\sim 10^7$ K in white dwarfs

Momentum space: $\hbar k_F = \sqrt{2mE_F}$

All states with $|\vec{k}| \leq k_F$ filled

Fermis “sea” or “sphere“ with “surface” $|\vec{k}| = k_F$



What is $E_F(N)$?

$$T \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow N = \int_0^\infty \frac{g(E)}{\zeta^{-1}e^{\beta E} + 1} dE = \int_0^{E_F} g(E) dE = \frac{g_s V}{6\pi^2} \left(\frac{2m}{\hbar^2}\right)^{3/2} E_F^{3/2}$$

$$\Rightarrow E_F = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left(\frac{6\pi^2 N}{g_s V}\right)^{2/3}$$

$$\Rightarrow E = \langle E \rangle = \int_0^{E_F} E g(E) dE = \frac{3}{5} N E_F$$

$$\Rightarrow pV = \frac{2}{5} N E_F \quad \text{“degeneracy pressure”} \neq 0 \text{ even at } T=0$$

important for compact stars

C.6.3 Fermi gas at low T


Recall: $N = \int_0^\infty \frac{g(E)}{\zeta^{-1}e^{\beta E} + 1} dE$, $E_{\text{tot}} = \int_0^\infty \frac{E g(E)}{\zeta^{-1}e^{\beta E} + 1} dE$, $g(E) = \frac{g_s V}{4\pi^2} \left(\frac{2m}{\hbar^2}\right)^{2/3} E^{1/2}$

Rigorous treatment for small T tricky because $n(E)$ discontinuous at $T = 0$

Non-rigorous discussion: 1) At small T :

the FD distr. only changes near E_F

2) We assume $\left. \frac{d\mu}{dT} \right|_{T=0} = 0$



Claim: $\frac{dN}{dT} = 0$ at $T = 0$ if $\left. \frac{d\mu}{dT} \right|_{T=0} = 0$ at $T = 0$.

Proof: $\frac{dN}{dT} = \frac{d}{dT} \int_0^\infty \frac{g(E)}{e^{\beta(E-\mu)} + 1} dE = \int_0^\infty g(E) \frac{d}{dT} \left(\frac{1}{e^{\beta(E-\mu)} + 1} \right) dE$

$\approx g(E_F) \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \left(\frac{1}{e^{\beta(E-\mu)} + 1} \right) dE$

1) $\frac{d}{dT}(\text{FD}) \approx 0$
except $E \approx E_F$

2) no inner deriv. of μ ;
 $\mu = E_F$

$\Rightarrow \frac{dN}{dT} \approx g(E_F) \int_0^\infty \underbrace{\left(\frac{E - E_F}{k_B T^2} \right)}_{\text{odd in } E-E_F} \underbrace{\frac{1}{4 \cosh^2 [\beta(E - E_F)/2]}}_{\text{even in } E-E_F} dE \approx 0 \quad \square$

Heat capacity: $C_V = \left. \frac{\partial E}{\partial T} \right|_{N,V} = \int_0^\infty E g(E) \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \left[\frac{1}{e^{\beta(E-\mu)} + 1} \right] dE$

Taylor: $E g(E) \sim E^{3/2} \Rightarrow E g(E) \approx E_F g(E_F) + \frac{3}{2} g(E_F) (E - E_F)$

$\Rightarrow C_V = \int_0^\infty \left[\underbrace{E_F g(E_F)}_{\text{even}} + \underbrace{\frac{3}{2} g(E_F) (E - E_F)}_{\text{odd}} \right] \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial T} \left[\frac{1}{e^{\beta(E-E_F)} + 1} \right]}_{\substack{\text{odd in } (E - E_F) \\ \text{cf. above}}}$

$$\Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow C_V \approx \frac{3}{2} g(E_F) T \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x^2}{4 \cosh^2 \frac{x}{2}} dx \quad \left| \quad x = \beta(E - E_F) \right.$$

Here we extended the integral from $\int_{-\beta E_F}^{\infty} \dots dx$ to $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots dx$ because

contributions far from $E = E_F$ are negligible!

$$\Rightarrow C_V \sim T g(E_F)$$

Interpretation: at low T only particles within $\Delta E \approx k_B T$ of E_F take part in the physics

Each of these picks up an energy $\sim k_B T$

There are $\sim g(E_F) k_B T$ such particles $\Rightarrow E \approx \text{const} + g(E_F) (k_B T)^2$

$$\Rightarrow C_V \sim g(E_F) T$$

Recall: $N \sim E_F^{3/2} \sim E_F g(E_F) \sim T_F g(E_F)$

$$\Rightarrow C_V \sim N k_B \frac{T}{T_F}$$

Comment: More rigorous treatment: *Sommerfeld expansion*; cf. Sec. 3.6.4 in D. Tong [2]

$$\text{Lengthier calculation} \Rightarrow C_V = N k_B \frac{\pi^2}{2} \frac{T}{T_F}$$

Heat capacity of metals


Recall: phonon contribution at low T : $C_V \sim T^3$

now: FD gas = e^- contribution: $C_V \sim T$

$$\Rightarrow C_V = \gamma T + \alpha T^3$$

One can show that the 2 contributions are \approx equal for $T^2 \sim \frac{T_D^3}{50 T_F}$

Typically: $T_D \sim 10^2$ K, $T_F \sim 10^4$ K $\Rightarrow \frac{T_D^3}{50 T_F} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ K



Note: Surprising that e^- are well described by ideal FD gas.

Coulomb interaction? Explained by Landau's Fermi-liquid theory ...

C.6.4 White Dwarfs and the Chandrasekhar limit

When stars exhaust their fuel (H, He, ...): $T \rightarrow 0$, degeneracy pressure; “White Dwarfs”

constant density approximation $\Rightarrow E_{\text{grav}} = -\frac{3}{5} \frac{GM^2}{R}$; G = Newton’s constant

minimize $E_{\text{grav}} + E_{\text{kin}} \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow R \sim M^{-1/3}$ (example sheet)

Note: WDs shrink when mass is added!

$$\Rightarrow E_F = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left(\frac{6\pi^2 N}{g_s V} \right)^{2/3} \text{ increases}$$

\Rightarrow gas becomes relativistic

ultrarelativistic regime with $g_s = 2$:

$$g(E) = \frac{V}{\pi^2 \hbar^3 c^3} \left(E^2 - \frac{m^2 c^4}{2} + \dots \right) \quad \left| \quad E \gg m \right.$$

$$\Rightarrow E_{\text{kin}} = \int_0^{E_F} E g(E) dE = \frac{V}{\pi^2 \hbar^3 c^3} \left(\frac{1}{4} E_F^4 - \frac{m^2 c^4}{4} E_F^2 + \dots \right)$$

$$N = \int_0^{E_F} g(E) dE = \frac{V}{\pi^2 \hbar^3 c^3} \left(\frac{1}{3} E_F^3 - \frac{m^2 c^4}{2} E_F + \dots \right)$$

White Dwarf mass, volume: $M = N m_p$, $V = \frac{4}{3} \pi R^3$, m_p = proton mass,

eliminate E_F in E_{kin} , N to leading order

$$\Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow E_{\text{grav}} + E_{\text{kin}} = \left[\frac{3\hbar c}{4} \left(\frac{9\pi M^4}{4m_p^4} \right)^{1/3} - \frac{3}{5} GM^2 \right] \frac{1}{R} + \mathcal{O}(R)$$

Case 1: leading $\frac{1}{R}$ term > 0

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dE_{\text{tot}}}{dR} = 0 \text{ has a solution: } \frac{1}{R} \text{ term balances } R \text{ term}$$

\Rightarrow star settles into new equilibrium

Case 2: leading $\frac{1}{R}$ term $r < 0 \Rightarrow$ no equilibrium \Rightarrow neutron star or black hole

This happens if $M > M_c \sim \left(\frac{\hbar c}{G} \right)^{3/2} \frac{1}{m_p^2} \approx 1.5 M_\odot$

C.6.5 Pauli paramagnetism (not lectured)

Consider e^- gas in magnetic field \vec{B}

- \Rightarrow 2 effects: 1) Coupling of spin to \vec{B}
 2) Lorentz force $\vec{v} \times \vec{B}$

Here 1)

e^- has “spin up”, $s = +1$, or “spin down”, $s = -1$

$$\Rightarrow E_{\text{spin}} = \mu_B s B; \quad \mu_B \equiv \frac{|e|\hbar}{2mc} \quad \text{“Bohr magneton”}$$

Def.: $f_n(\zeta) \equiv \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{n-1}}{\zeta^{-1}e^x + 1} dx \stackrel{!}{=} -g_n(-\zeta) \quad \text{cf. Sec. C.5.3}$

The two spin states now have different energies

$$\Rightarrow \frac{N_\uparrow}{V} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left(\frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \int_0^\infty \frac{E_{\text{kin}}^{1/2}}{e^{\beta(E_{\text{kin}} + \mu_B B - \mu)} + 1} dE_{\text{kin}} = \frac{1}{\lambda^3} f_{3/2}(\zeta e^{+\beta\mu_B B})$$

$$\frac{N_\downarrow}{V} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left(\frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \int_0^\infty \frac{E_{\text{kin}}^{1/2}}{e^{\beta(E_{\text{kin}} - \mu_B B - \mu)} + 1} dE_{\text{kin}} = \frac{1}{\lambda^3} f_{3/2}(\zeta e^{-\beta\mu_B B})$$

Def.: Magnetization $\mathcal{M} \equiv \frac{\partial E}{\partial B}$

one e^- : $E_{\text{spin}} = s \mu_B B$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{M} = \mu_B (N_\uparrow - N_\downarrow) = \frac{\mu_B V}{\lambda^3} [f_{3/2}(\zeta e^{\beta\mu_B B}) - f_{3/2}(\zeta e^{-\beta\mu_B B})]$$

High T limit: One shows (as for bosons in Sec. C.5.2): $\zeta \rightarrow 0$

$$\Rightarrow f_n(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{n-1}}{\zeta^{-1}e^x + 1} dx \approx \frac{\zeta}{\Gamma(n)} \underbrace{\int_0^\infty \frac{x^{n-1}}{e^x} dx}_{=\Gamma(n)} = \zeta$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{M} \approx \frac{2\mu_B V \zeta}{\lambda^3} \sinh(\beta\mu_B B)$$

likewise: $N \equiv N_\uparrow + N_\downarrow \approx \frac{2V\zeta}{\lambda^3} \cosh(\beta\mu_B B)$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{M} \approx \mu_B N \tanh(\beta\mu_B B) = \text{classical result!}$$

Def.: magnetic susceptibility $\chi = \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}}{\partial B}$

For small B : $\tanh(\beta\mu_B B) \approx \beta\mu_B B$

$$\Rightarrow \chi(B=0) = \frac{N\mu_B^2}{k_B T} \quad \text{“Curie’s law”}$$

Low T limit: One can show for $\beta\mu \gg 1$: $f_n(\zeta) = \frac{(\ln \zeta)^n}{\Gamma(n+1)}$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{M} = \mu_B(N_\uparrow - N_\downarrow) = \frac{\mu_B V}{6\pi^2} \left(\frac{2m}{\hbar^2}\right)^{3/2} [(E_F + \mu_B B)^{3/2} - (E_F - \mu_B B)^{3/2}]$$

$$\mu_B B \ll E_F \Rightarrow \mathcal{M} \approx \frac{\mu_B^2 V}{2\pi^2} \left(\frac{2m}{\hbar^2}\right)^{3/2} E_F^{1/2} B$$

$$\text{density of states: } g(E) = \frac{g_s V}{4\pi^2} \left(\frac{2m}{\hbar^2}\right)^{3/2} \sqrt{E}; \quad g_s = 2$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{M} \approx \mu_B^2 g(E_F) B$$

$$\Rightarrow \chi = \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}}{\partial B} = \mu_B^2 g(E_F) \sim \text{const}$$

Interpretation: The e^- deep below the Fermi surface cannot flip their spin because those states are already occupied. Only e^- at the Fermi surface can flip the state. These e^- have density of states $g(E_F)$.

Note: $\chi > 0$. Such materials are “paramagnetic”

Effect 2) [Lorentz force] $\Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow \mathcal{M} = -\frac{\mu_B^2}{3} g(E_F) B < 0$; “diamagnetism”; cf. [2].

D Classical Thermodynamics

Macroscopic description of systems without regard to microscopic constituents

widely applicable: Black Holes, biological systems, engineering,...

D.1 Temperature and the 0th law

Def.: “insulated system”: no influence from outside, enclosed in adiabatic walls

“diathermal system”: enclosed in non-moving walls, heat flow possible

“equilibrium”: no change in time

defining quantities: for now: pressure p , volume V

(sometimes more, e.g. magnetic field, magnetization, ...)

0th law: If two systems A, B are in equilibrium with a third system C

$\Rightarrow A, B$ are in equilibrium with each other. “transitivity”

Suppose A is in state (p_1, V_1) and C in (p_3, V_3)

A, C in equilibrium \Rightarrow given p_1, V_1, p_3 fixes $V_3 = f_{AC}(p_1, V_1; p_3)$

B, C in equilibrium $\Rightarrow V_3 = f_{BC}(p_2, V_2; p_3)$

$\Rightarrow f_{AC}(p_1, V_1; p_3) = f_{BC}(p_2, V_2; p_3)$

Fix p_3 at some value and define $\Theta_A(p_1, V_1) \equiv f_{AC}(p_1, V_1; p_3)$

$\Theta_B(p_2, V_2) \equiv f_{BC}(p_2, V_2; p_3)$

0th law: A, B are in equilibrium $\Leftrightarrow \Theta_A(p_1, V_1) = \Theta_B(p_2, V_2)$

Def.: Temperature $T \equiv \Theta(p, V)$ “Equation of State”

We could choose temperature = $\sqrt{\Theta(p, V)}$ or so, but \exists canonical choice:

Carnot cycle; cf. below

Reference choice for now: ideal gas $T = \frac{pV}{Nk_B}$

D.2 The 1st law

1st law: The amount of work required to change an otherwise isolated system from state 1 to state 2 is independent of how the work is done.

\Rightarrow There exists a function $E(p, V)$, energy, and $\Delta E = W$

Heat: If the system is not otherwise isolated, $\Delta E \neq W$

A change resulting exclusively from T differences is called heat Q

$\Rightarrow \Delta E = Q + W$

Note: We cannot write $E = Q + W$; neither Q, W are functions of state.

$dE = \frac{\partial E}{\partial p} dp + \frac{\partial E}{\partial V} dV$ is a total derivative.

not possible for Q, W

we write $dE = dQ + dW$

E.g. specific way to do work: “squeeze” $\Rightarrow dW = -pdV$


meaning of d : There exists no function $W(p, V)$ such that “ $dW = -pdV$ ”

Def.: Quasistatic process: a process of E transfer where the system is always effectively in equilibrium; view as “slow” change

vary system quasistatically from A to B

$\Rightarrow \int dE = E(p_2, V_2) - E(p_1, V_1)$,

but $W = -\int pdV$ depends on path



D.3 The 2nd law


Reversible processes

Def.: Reversible process \equiv quasi-static process that can be run backwards: “no friction”

For a roundtrip: $\oint dE = 0$

But in general: $\oint p dV \neq 0$

1st law $\Rightarrow \oint dQ = \oint p dV$



It would be great to run this circle such that heat is transformed into work...

2nd law: Kelvin: No process is possible whose sole effect is to extract heat from a hot reservoir and convert it entirely into work.

Clausius: No process is possible whose sole effect is the transfer of heat from a colder to a hotter body.

Keywords: “sole effect”! E.g. fridge uses work to cool a colder system.


Comment: Kelvin \Leftrightarrow Clausius !

Imagine a machine in violation of Kelvin’s form.

Use that to drive a fridge.

The compound machine violates Clausius form.

One similarly finds “Kelvin” \Rightarrow “Clausius”



D.3.1 The Carnot cycle


Recall: for a reversible cycle: $\oint dQ = - \oint dW$

Can we use this to contradict Kelvin?

No! It must do something else: deposit heat into a cold reservoir


Consider **Carnot cycle**

A) isothermal expansion




$T_H = \text{const}$, Q_H into system

B) adiabatic expansion



$Q = 0$, T, p decrease

C) isothermal contraction



$T_C = \text{const} < T_h$, Q_C out of system

D) adiabatic contraction



$Q = 0$, T, p increase

net heat absorbed: $Q_H - Q_C = W$ done by the system

Def.: efficiency $\eta \equiv \frac{W}{Q_H} = 1 - \frac{Q_C}{Q_H}$

Kelvin forbids $\eta = 1 \Leftrightarrow Q_C = 0$

Carnot's theorem: Of all engines operating between a hot reservoir H and a cold one C , a reversible one is the most efficient.


\Rightarrow all reversible engines have the same $\eta = \eta(T_H, T_C)$

Proof: Consider an irreversible engine “Ivor” and

use it to drive a reversed Carnot

$$\Rightarrow Q'_H - Q_H \text{ extracted from } H,$$

$$Q'_C - Q_C = Q'_H - Q_H \text{ deposited to } C$$



$$\text{Clausius} \Rightarrow Q'_H \geq Q_H$$

$$\Rightarrow \eta_{\text{Ivor}} = 1 - \frac{Q'_C}{Q'_H} = \frac{Q'_H - Q'_C}{Q'_H} = \frac{Q_H - Q_C}{Q'_H} \leq \frac{Q_H - Q_C}{Q_H} = \eta_{\text{Carnot}}$$

Suppose Ivor were reversible. Then likewise we'd show $\eta_{\text{Ivor}} \geq \eta_{\text{Carnot}}$

\Rightarrow A reversible Ivor has the same η as Carnot. The only variables are T_H, T_C

$$\Rightarrow \eta = \eta(T_H, T_C)$$

D.3.2 Thermodynamic temperature scale and ideal gas

0th Law $\Rightarrow \exists$ a function $\Theta(p, V)$ whose equality implies thermal equilibrium

What shall we choose? $\Theta, \sqrt{\Theta}, \dots?$

Consider 2 Carnot engines A, B

$$\Rightarrow Q_2 = Q_1 [1 - \eta(T_1, T_2)]$$


$$\wedge Q_3 = Q_2 [1 - \eta(T_2, T_3)] = Q_1 [1 - \eta(T_1, T_2)] [1 - \eta(T_2, T_3)]$$

Now regard $AB \equiv A + B$ as a compound Carnot engine

$$\Rightarrow Q_3 = Q_1 [1 - \eta(T_1, T_3)]$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 - \eta(T_1, T_3) = [1 - \eta(T_1, T_2)] [1 - \eta(T_2, T_3)]$$

$$T_2 \text{ cancels on rhs.} \Rightarrow 1 - \eta(T_1, T_2) = \frac{f(T_2)}{f(T_1)}$$



Def.: Thermodynamic temperature: Choose T such that $\eta = 1 - \frac{T_2}{T_1}$

Carnot cycle for ideal gas

ideal gas: $T = \frac{pV}{k_B T}$

energy $E = \frac{3}{2} N k_B T$

Note: Without statistical physics (19th century) these are empirical

$A \rightarrow B$: isothermal expansion: $dT = 0 \Rightarrow dE = 0 \Rightarrow dQ = -dW$

$$\Rightarrow Q_H = \int_A^B dQ = \int_A^B -dW = \int_A^B p dV = \int_A^B \frac{N k_B T_H}{V} dV = N k_B T_H \ln \frac{V_B}{V_A}$$


$B \rightarrow C$: adiabatic expansion: $dQ = 0 \Rightarrow dE = -pdV \stackrel{!}{=} \frac{3}{2} N k_B dT$

$$pV = N k_B T \Rightarrow -\frac{N k_B T}{V} dV = \frac{3}{2} N k_B dT \Rightarrow -\frac{dV}{V} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{dT}{T}$$

$$\Rightarrow -\ln V = \frac{3}{2} \ln T + \text{const} \Rightarrow p \sim V^{-5/3}$$

$$\Rightarrow T V^{2/3} = \text{const}$$

$$\Rightarrow T_H V_B^{2/3} = T_C V_C^{2/3} \Rightarrow \frac{T_C}{T_H} = \frac{V_B^{2/3}}{V_C^{2/3}}$$



$C \rightarrow D$: isothermal compression: $Q_C = -N k_B T_C \ln \frac{V_D}{V_C} = N k_B T_C \ln \frac{V_C}{V_D}$

Note: $Q_C > 0$; heat given away by engine

$D \rightarrow A$: adiabatic compression: $T_C V_D^{2/3} = T_H V_A^{2/3} \Rightarrow \frac{T_C}{T_H} = \frac{V_A^{2/3}}{V_D^{2/3}} \stackrel{!}{=} \frac{V_B^{2/3}}{V_C^{2/3}}$

Balance: $\eta = 1 - \frac{Q_C}{Q_H} = 1 - \frac{T_C}{T_H} \frac{\ln(V_C/V_D)}{\ln(V_B/V_A)} = 1 - \frac{T_C}{T_H}$

\Rightarrow Thermodynamic $T =$ ideal gas T

D.3.3 Entropy

Notation: Count Q as heat absorbed by the system

$$Q < 0 \Rightarrow \text{system releases heat}$$

$$\text{Set } T_1 \equiv T_H, T_2 \equiv T_C \Rightarrow Q_1 = Q_H, Q_2 = -Q_C$$

$$\text{Carnot cycle} \Rightarrow \frac{Q_C}{Q_H} = \frac{T_C}{T_H} \Rightarrow \text{for any Carnot cycle } \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{Q_i}{T_i} = 0$$

Subdivide Carnot cycle:


$$\text{Normal cycle } ABCD: \Rightarrow \frac{Q_{AB}}{T_H} + \frac{Q_{CD}}{T_C} = 0$$

$$\text{Mini cycle } EBG F: \Rightarrow \frac{Q_{GF}}{T_{FG}} + \frac{Q_{EB}}{T_H} = 0$$

$$\text{Bizarre cycle } AEF GCD: \Rightarrow Q_{AB} = Q_{AE} + Q_{EB}$$

$$Q_{FG} = -Q_{GF}$$


$$\Rightarrow \frac{Q_{AE}}{T_H} + \frac{Q_{FG}}{T_{FG}} + \frac{Q_{CD}}{T_C} = \frac{Q_{AB} - Q_{EB}}{T_H} - \frac{Q_{GF}}{T_{FG}} + \frac{Q_{CD}}{T_C} = 0$$



We can approximate any reversible cycle through such subdivisions!

$$\Rightarrow \text{for any reversible cycle } \oint \frac{\delta Q}{T} = 0$$

\Rightarrow for any two states A, B : $\int_A^B \frac{\delta Q}{T}$ is pathindependent,
as long as the process is reversible



Def.: Fix some reference state \mathcal{O}

For any state A with p, V we define the entropy

$$S(p, V) \equiv \int_{\mathcal{O}}^A \frac{\delta Q}{T} \quad \text{as given by a reversible path}$$

Comment: $dS = \frac{dQ}{T} \Rightarrow$ 1st law $dE = T dS - p dV$

\Rightarrow This entropy is the same as that defined in Sec. A

Irreversibility

$$\eta_{\text{irr}} = 1 - \frac{Q'_C}{Q'_H} \leq \eta_{\text{Car}} = 1 - \frac{Q_C}{Q_H} \Rightarrow \frac{Q'_H - Q'_C}{Q'_H} \leq \frac{Q_H - Q_C}{Q_H}$$

Consider a reversible and an irreversible machine doing the same work:

$$W = Q'_H - Q'_C = Q_H - Q_C \Rightarrow \frac{1}{Q'_H} \leq \frac{1}{Q_H}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{Q'_H}{T_H} - \frac{Q'_C}{T_C} = \underbrace{\frac{Q_H}{T_H} - \frac{Q_C}{T_C}}_{=0} + (Q'_H - Q_H) \left(\frac{1}{T_H} - \frac{1}{T_C} \right) = \underbrace{(Q'_H - Q_H)}_{\geq 0} \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{T_H} - \frac{1}{T_C} \right)}_{< 0} \leq 0$$


$$\Rightarrow \frac{Q'_1}{T_1} + \frac{Q'_2}{T_2} \leq 0$$

Subdivision of Carnot cycle $\Rightarrow \boxed{\oint \frac{dQ}{T} \leq 0}$ for any path ; “Clausius inequality”

Consider two states A, B, path I irrev., path II rev.

$$\Rightarrow \oint \frac{dQ}{T} = \int_I \frac{dQ}{T} - \int_{II} \frac{dQ}{T} \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_I \frac{dQ}{T} \leq S(B) - S(A) ; \frac{dQ}{T} \leq dS \text{ for irrev. process}$$



Suppose, path I is adiabatic $\Rightarrow dQ = 0 \Rightarrow S(B) \geq S(A)$

If path I is also rev. $\Rightarrow S(B) = S(A)$

Isolated systems can only evolve to equal or higher entropy \rightarrow time arrow

D.4 Thermodynamic potentials: Free Energy, Enthalpy

We have many thermodynamic variables: p, V, T, E, S, \dots

We can choose any two to describe the system. Which? Depends...

E.g. energy is best expressed as $E(S, V)$: $dE = TdS - pdV$

Free energy

good if $T = \text{const}$

$F = E - TS \Rightarrow dF = -SdT - pdV$ “Legendre trafo”

$$\Rightarrow \left. \frac{\partial F}{\partial T} \right|_V = -S, \quad \left. \frac{\partial F}{\partial V} \right|_T = -p$$

What’s “free” about F ?

Consider an isothermal process $\Rightarrow dF = -pdV$

$$\Rightarrow F(B) - F(A) = \int_A^B -p dV = -\text{“work done by system”}$$

$\Rightarrow F = \text{measure of work free to be done at constant } T$

Gibbs Free Energy

Def.: Gibbs free energy $G \equiv E + pV - TS$

Consider system \mathcal{S} with fixed pressure, and a reservoir \mathcal{R} such that

$V_{\text{tot}} = V_{\mathcal{R}} + V_{\mathcal{S}} = \text{const}$

$\Rightarrow S_{\text{tot}}(E_{\text{tot}}, V_{\text{tot}}) = S_{\mathcal{R}}(E_{\text{tot}} - E_{\mathcal{S}}, V_{\text{tot}} - V_{\mathcal{S}}) + S_{\mathcal{S}}(E_{\mathcal{S}}, V_{\mathcal{S}})$

$$\begin{aligned} &\approx S_{\mathcal{R}}(E_{\text{tot}}, V_{\text{tot}}) - \frac{\partial S_{\mathcal{R}}}{\partial E_{\text{tot}}} E_{\mathcal{S}} - \frac{\partial S_{\mathcal{R}}}{\partial V_{\text{tot}}} V_{\mathcal{S}} + S_{\mathcal{S}}(E_{\mathcal{S}}, V_{\mathcal{S}}) \quad \left| \quad \left. \frac{\partial S}{\partial V} \right|_E = \frac{p}{T} \right. \\ &= S_{\mathcal{R}} - \frac{E_{\mathcal{S}} + pV_{\mathcal{S}} - TS_{\mathcal{S}}}{T} \end{aligned}$$

S_{tot} max if Gibbs free energy $G = E + pV - TS = F + pV$ is min.

$dG = -SdT + Vdp$

with N : $dF = -SdT - pdV + \mu dN$, $dG = -SdT + Vdp + \mu dN$

Comment: $G = G(T, p, N)$; only N is extensive $\Rightarrow G(p, T, N) = \mu(p, T) N$

$$\mu = \frac{\partial G}{\partial N} = \frac{G}{N}$$

Enthalpy

Def.: Enthalpy $H \equiv E + pV \Rightarrow dH = T dS + V dp$

E, F, G, H are “thermodynamic potentials”

D.4.1 Maxwell’s relations

Regard $E = E(S, V)$; 1st law: $dE = T dS - p dV$

$$\Rightarrow \left. \frac{\partial E}{\partial S} \right|_V = T, \quad \left. \frac{\partial E}{\partial V} \right|_S = -p$$

Second derivatives commute $\Rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial S \partial V} = \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial V \partial S}$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{-\left. \frac{\partial p}{\partial S} \right|_V = \left. \frac{\partial T}{\partial V} \right|_S} \quad \text{“Maxwell Relations”}$$

mathematically trivial, physically non-obvious!

Play the same game for F : $dF = -S dT - p dV$

$$\Rightarrow \left. \frac{\partial S}{\partial V} \right|_T = \left. \frac{\partial p}{\partial T} \right|_V$$

and for G, H : $\left. \frac{\partial S}{\partial p} \right|_T = -\left. \frac{\partial V}{\partial T} \right|_p, \quad \left. \frac{\partial T}{\partial p} \right|_S = \left. \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} \right|_p \rightarrow 4$ Maxwell relations

To remember: cross-multiplication always $\rightarrow TS, pV$; 4 ways to construct Eqs.

keep the conjugate variable constant: $\left. \frac{\partial T}{\partial \cdot} \right|_S, \left. \frac{\partial S}{\partial \cdot} \right|_T, \left. \frac{\partial p}{\partial \cdot} \right|_V, \left. \frac{\partial V}{\partial \cdot} \right|_p$

minus signs: not obvious ... , sorry

Heat capacity revisited

Taking further derivatives of the Maxwell eqs. , we can derive

further useful relations → example sheet

These include for $C_V = T \left. \frac{\partial S}{\partial T} \right|_V$, $C_p = T \left. \frac{\partial S}{\partial T} \right|_p$:

$$\left. \frac{\partial C_V}{\partial V} \right|_T = T \left. \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial T^2} \right|_V, \quad \left. \frac{\partial C_p}{\partial p} \right|_T = -T \left. \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial T^2} \right|_p$$

$$C_p - C_V = T \left. \frac{\partial V}{\partial T} \right|_p \left. \frac{\partial p}{\partial T} \right|_V$$

For ideal gas: $C_p - C_V = Nk_B$

$C_p > C_V$ because more heat needed to increase T at constant pressure; some goes into work

D.5 The 3rd law

We have only considered changes in entropy so far; no reference S_0

3rd law: “Nernst’s postulate”: $\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} S(T) = 0$

can be relaxed to: $\frac{S}{N} \rightarrow 0$ for $T \rightarrow 0$, $N \rightarrow \infty$

⇒ the ground state entropy must not grow too much with N

Comment: In Sec. A.2.2, we saw: $\Delta S = \int \frac{C_V}{T} dT$

integral must converge ⇒ $C_V \sim T^n$ with $n > 0$

ideal gas: $C_V = \frac{3}{2}Nk_B \sim T^0$ violates 3rd law

⇒ The 3rd law tells us that the low T world is QM, not classical

E Phase transitions

Abrupt, discontinuous changes in systems; cf. BE condensate


E.1 Liquid-gas transition

Recall van der Waals EOS: $p = \frac{k_B T}{v-b} - \frac{a}{v^2}$; $v = \frac{V}{N}$

3 shapes: $T > T_C$: \approx ideal gas; ignore $\frac{a}{v^2}$ term


$T = T_C$: $k_B T_C = \frac{8a}{27b}$ \rightarrow inflection point

$T < T_C$: $k_B T \approx \frac{a}{v}$ realized in range $v > b \Rightarrow$ local min, max



At $T < T_C$: for some pressures: > 1 volume possible

V_B : $\left. \frac{dp}{dv} \right|_T > 0 \Rightarrow$ unstable
 expand system $\rightarrow p$ increases
 squeeze system $\rightarrow p$ decreases



V_A : $v \gtrsim b$: atoms are as close as they can be \rightarrow liquid
 van der Waals is not supposed to work for
 liquids, but let's ignore that for now

V_C : $v \gg b$: gas

E.1.1 Phase equilibrium

What's going on between A and C ? Co-existence of gas, liquid

\rightarrow chemical equilibrium $\mu_{\text{liq}} = \mu_{\text{gas}}$

Recall: Gibbs free energy G : $\mu = \frac{G}{N}$

Maxwell construction

Clearly, between A, B , $p(v)$ does not behave like van der Waals


At A) $\mu = \mu_{\text{liq}}$

At C) $\mu = \mu_{\text{gas}}$

We now assume that $\mu_{\text{liq}} = \mu_{\text{gas}}$ and from A to C , μ does not change.

What does this imply for $p(v)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{along isotherm: } d\mu &= \left. \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial p} \right|_T dp \\ \left. \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial p} \right|_T &= \frac{1}{N} \left. \frac{\partial G}{\partial p} \right|_{N,T} = \frac{1}{N} V \\ \Rightarrow \mu(p, T) &= \mu_{\text{liq}} + \int_{p_{\text{liq}}}^p \frac{V(\tilde{p}, T)}{N} d\tilde{p} \end{aligned}$$




from A to C we don't want μ to change. $\frac{V}{N} > 0$
 \Rightarrow the only way to avoid a change in μ is $dp = 0$
 \Rightarrow horizontal line from A to C in p v diagram

But what is p_{liq} ?

Maxwell: Assume the van der Waals EOS were correct.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \mu(p, T) &= \underbrace{\mu_{\text{liq}}}_{=\mu_A} + \int_{p_{\text{liq}}}^p \frac{V(\tilde{p}, T)}{N} d\tilde{p} \stackrel{!}{=} \underbrace{\mu_{\text{gas}}}_{=\mu_C} \\ \Rightarrow \int_A^C V dp &= \int_A^B V dp + \int_B^C V dp \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \\ \Rightarrow \text{The shaded areas } AB &\text{ and } BC \text{ must be equal} \end{aligned}$$



\Rightarrow The coexistence isotherm between A and C is $p = \text{const} = p_{\text{liq}}$ such that $A_{AB} = A_{BC}$

As we move from A to C , more and more liquid becomes gas.

E.1.2 The Clausius-Clapeyron Equation


Consider the liquid-gas transition in the $p - T$ plane.

Say, we sit in the gas phase at $T < T_C$ very close to the liquid edge.

Then increase p just a bit.

→ liquid; V shrinks discontinuously.

→ phase transition



$$\mu_{\text{liq}} = \mu_{\text{gas}} \Rightarrow G_{\text{liq}} = G_{\text{gas}}$$

how does G change along the line of phase transition?

$$dG_{\text{liq}} = -S_{\text{liq}}dT + V_{\text{liq}}dp \stackrel{!}{=} dG_{\text{gas}} = -S_{\text{gas}}dT + V_{\text{gas}}dp$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dp}{dT} = \frac{S_{\text{gas}} - S_{\text{liq}}}{V_{\text{gas}} - V_{\text{liq}}}$$

Def.: latent heat $L \equiv (S_{\text{gas}} - S_{\text{liq}})T$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Clausius-Clapeyron Eq.: } \frac{dp}{dT} = \frac{L}{T(V_{\text{gas}} - V_{\text{liq}})}$$

Def.: For an n^{th} order phase transition, the n^{th} deriv. of the thermodynamic potential (typically F or G) is discontinuous.

Here: $V = \frac{\partial G}{\partial p}$, $S = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial T}$ discontinuous


⇒ liquid-gas phase transition is 1st order.

As $T \rightarrow T_C$: $V_{\text{liq}} \rightarrow V_{\text{gas}}$.

One can show that at T_C we have a 2nd order Ph.Tr.

At $T > T_C$ no distinction between gas, liquid.

In general, phase diagrams also include a solid phase.



E.1.3 The critical point

Critical point: inflection point in $p = p(V)$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial p}{\partial v} = \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial v^2} = 0 \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow k_B T_C = \frac{8a}{27b}$$

Now consider vdW EOS: $pv^3 - (pb + k_B T)v^2 + av - ab = 0$

$$T < T_C \Rightarrow 3 \text{ roots}$$

$$T > T_C \Rightarrow 1 \text{ root}$$

$$T = T_C \text{ all 3 roots coincide}$$

$$\Rightarrow p_C(v - v_C)^3 = 0$$

$$\text{Compare coeffs.} \Rightarrow k_B T_C = \frac{8a}{27b}, \quad v_C = 3b, \quad p_C = \frac{a}{27b^2}$$

The law of corresponding states

$$\text{Invert the last relations: } b = \frac{v_C}{3}; \quad a = \frac{27}{64} \frac{(k_B T_C)^2}{p_C}$$

Def.: reduced variables: $\bar{T} \equiv \frac{T}{T_C}$, $\bar{v} \equiv \frac{v}{v_C}$, $\bar{p} \equiv \frac{p}{p_C}$

$$\Rightarrow \text{vdW: } \bar{p} = \frac{8}{3} \frac{\bar{T}}{\bar{v} - \frac{1}{3}} - \frac{3}{\bar{v}^2}$$

p_C, T_C, v_C only depend on 2 vars.: a, b

\Rightarrow eliminate a, b


\Rightarrow compressibility ratio $\frac{p_C v_C}{k_B T_C} = \frac{3}{8}$ should hold for all gases

Experiment: $\frac{p_C v_C}{k_B T_C} = 0.28 \dots 0.3$. OK, given that vdW is not really good for liquids.

Coexistence curve for various gases in reduced

variables is nearly universal: Ne, O₂, CO, CH₄,...

Chemical makeup appears irrelevant \rightarrow "universal" behaviour



Critical exponents

- 1) How does
- $v_{\text{gas}} - v_{\text{liq}}$
- behave at the critical point?

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vdW: } \bar{p} &= \frac{8}{3} \frac{\bar{T}}{\bar{v} - \frac{1}{3}} - \frac{3}{\bar{v}^2} = \frac{8\bar{T}}{3\bar{v}_{\text{liq}} - 1} - \frac{3}{\bar{v}_{\text{liq}}^2} = \frac{8\bar{T}}{3\bar{v}_{\text{gas}} - 1} - \frac{3}{\bar{v}_{\text{gas}}^2} \\ \Rightarrow \bar{T} &= \frac{(3\bar{v}_{\text{liq}} - 1)(3\bar{v}_{\text{gas}} - 1)(\bar{v}_{\text{liq}} + \bar{v}_{\text{gas}})}{8\bar{v}_{\text{gas}}^2 \bar{v}_{\text{liq}}^2} \quad (*) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Critical point} \Rightarrow \bar{v}_{\text{gas}}, \bar{v}_{\text{liq}} \rightarrow 1 \Rightarrow \bar{T} \rightarrow 1$$

$$\text{Expand } (*) \text{ in } \epsilon = \bar{v}_{\text{gas}} - \bar{v}_{\text{liq}} \Rightarrow \bar{v}_{\text{gas}} = 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \quad \bar{v}_{\text{liq}} = 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow \bar{T} \approx 1 - \frac{1}{16} (\bar{v}_{\text{gas}} - \bar{v}_{\text{liq}})^2$$

$$\Rightarrow v_{\text{gas}} - v_{\text{liq}} \sim (T_C - T)^{1/2}$$

- 2) How does
- v
- change with
- p
- along a critical isotherm?

There exists a unique function $p = p(v, T_C)$

Furthermore $\frac{\partial p}{\partial v} = \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial v^2} = 0$ at critical point

Taylor expansion $\Rightarrow p - p_C \sim (v - v_C)^3$

- 3) How does the compressibility
- $\kappa \equiv -\frac{1}{v} \frac{\partial v}{\partial p} \Big|_T$
- change as
- $T \rightarrow T_C$
- from
- $T > T_C$
- ?

$$\text{At the critical point: } \frac{\partial p}{\partial v} \Big|_{T_C} = 0$$

$$\text{Taylor expand in } T - T_C \Rightarrow \frac{\partial p}{\partial v} \Big|_{T, v=v_C} = -\alpha(T - T_C) + \dots \quad \Big| \quad \alpha = \text{const}$$

$$\Rightarrow \kappa \sim \frac{1}{T - T_C}$$

How do these agree with experiment? How good is our vdW based model?

Answer: Experimental results do not depend on the type of gas. Good!

But the exact scaling is different: $v_{\text{gas}} - v_{\text{liq}} \sim (T_C - T)^{\approx 0.32}$

$$p - p_C \sim (v - v_C)^{\approx 4.8}$$

$$\kappa \sim \frac{1}{(T - T_C)^{\approx 1.2}}$$

The exponents are called “critical exponents”

Conclusion: The vdW EOS does a good job qualitatively, but is quantitatively inaccurate.

One can show that for $T \rightarrow T_C$: $\frac{\Delta N^2}{N} \sim \kappa \sim \frac{1}{T - T_C}$, i.e. diverges.

We work with averaged quantities! Becomes inaccurate for large fluctuations

→ New physical areas...

E.2 The Ising model

N sites in a d -dimensional lattice: each has spin up: \uparrow , $s_i = +1$, or

spin down: \downarrow , $s_i = -1$

$\uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \bullet$
 $\uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \bullet$
 $\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow \downarrow \downarrow$
 $\bullet \bullet \bullet$

magn. field $B \Rightarrow E_B = -B \sum_{i=1}^N s_i$; \uparrow has lower energy $\Rightarrow \uparrow$ is “favored”

In contrast to our 2-state spin system from Sec. A.2.3, we use here interaction:

$$E_I \equiv -J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} s_i s_j$$

$\langle ij \rangle$ = summation over “nearest-neighbour pairs”

Number of nearest neighbours: q ; $d = 1 \Rightarrow q = 2$, $d = 2 \Rightarrow q = 4$, $d \Rightarrow q = 2d$

We consider $J > 0 \Rightarrow$ neighbours prefer to be aligned.

$$\text{CE: } Z = \sum_{\{s_i\}} e^{-\beta E[s_i]} = \sum_{\{s_i\}} \exp \left[\beta \left(J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} s_i s_j + B \sum_i s_i \right) \right]$$

Def.: magnetization: $m \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \langle s_i \rangle = \frac{1}{N\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial B} \ln Z$

E.2.1 Mean-field theory

m is the average spin per particle

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow s_i s_j &= [(s_i - m) + m] [(s_j - m) + m] \\ &= (s_i - m)(s_j - m) + m(s_j - m) + m(s_i - m) + m^2 \end{aligned}$$

Mean field theory: Fluctuations in the particle spin are small when summed over $\langle ij \rangle$.

This is a weaker assumption than assuming small $\langle (s_i - m)^2 \rangle$!

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow E = E_B + E_I &= -J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} [m(s_i + s_j) - m^2] - B \sum_i s_i \\ &= \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} J N q m^2}_{(1)} - \underbrace{(J q m + B)}_{(2)} \sum_i s_i \end{aligned}$$

(1) Each particle has q nearest neighbours: Nq pairs, but every one is counted twice!

$$\Rightarrow \text{The sum } \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \text{ has } \frac{Nq}{2} \text{ pairs - try for small } N \dots$$

For periodic boundary conditions this is exact.

For non-periodic BCs, a good approximation for large N .

(2) Again, we have $\frac{Nq}{2}$ pairs in the sum.

Particles i and j appear equally in the sum $s_i + s_j$

$$\Rightarrow \text{one sum over } i \text{ and the factor } \frac{1}{2} \text{ cancels the factor } 2 \text{ from the sum } s_i + s_j.$$

Comments: • The $\frac{1}{2} J N q m^2$ term in E is merely a constant factor in Z

\Rightarrow no effect on physics

• We now have a non-interacting system with $B_{\text{eff}} = B + J q m$

$$Z = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \beta J N q m^2} \sum_{s_1} \dots \sum_{s_N} e^{\beta B_{\text{eff}} \sum_i s_i} = \left(\sum_{s_i = \pm 1} e^{\beta B_{\text{eff}} s_i} \right)^N$$

$$\Rightarrow Z = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \beta J N q m^2} (e^{-\beta B_{\text{eff}}} + e^{\beta B_{\text{eff}}})^N = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \beta J N q m^2} 2^N \cosh^N \beta B_{\text{eff}}$$

$$\Rightarrow m = \frac{1}{N\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial B} \ln Z = \tanh(\beta B + \beta Jqm); \quad \text{implicit equation for } m$$

$$1) \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}: \Rightarrow m = \tanh(\beta Jqm)$$

$$\tanh x \approx x - \frac{1}{3}x^3 \Rightarrow \text{slope of } \tanh(\beta Jqm) \text{ at } m = 0: \beta Jq$$

$$a) \beta Jq < 1 \Rightarrow \text{only one solution: } m = 0$$

at high T (small β), temperature randomizes

the system \Rightarrow no average magnetization


$$b) \beta Jq > 1 \Rightarrow 3 \text{ solutions: } m = 0, \pm m_0$$

$m = 0$ can be shown to be unstable.

at low T , interactions win out and align the spins (either up or down)

$T \rightarrow 0 : \beta \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow \tanh$ becomes Heaviside function

$$\Rightarrow m_0 = \pm 1$$



The critical T separating a), b) is: $k_B T_C = Jq$

Note: Magnetization turns off at finite $T = T_C$

$$2) \mathbf{B} \neq \mathbf{0}: a) \beta \rightarrow 0 : m = \tanh[\beta(B + Jqm)] \approx \beta(B + Jqm)$$

$$\Rightarrow m \approx \frac{B}{k_B T}$$

Note: now m smoothly decreases to 0 at infinite T


b) low T : m asymptotes to ± 1 as $T \rightarrow 0$, but the sign of B

determines the sign of m : $\text{sign}(m) = \text{sign}(B)$;

the other solution can be shown to be metastable

Summary

- $B = 0$:
- phase transition at $T = T_C$
 - can be shown to be of 2nd order as we vary T at $B = 0$
- $B \neq 0$:
- no phase transition as we vary T
 - but if we vary B at fixed $T < T_C$, we have a phase transition: m swaps sign
 - $m = \frac{1}{N\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial B} \ln Z = -\frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial B} F$
 - \Rightarrow 1st order phase transition

**E.2.2 Critical exponents**

Let's compare the 1st order phase transition of the Ising model with the liquid-gas one.

Ising: Fix $T < T_C$, vary B from positive to negative (or vice versa)

\Rightarrow 1st order transition: magnetization m jumps

liq.-gas: Fix $T < T_C$, vary pressure across the liquidization value

\Rightarrow 1st order transition: v jumps from v_{gas} to v_{liq}

Critical exponents; cf. gas-liquid

1) m at $B = 0$ as $T \rightarrow T_C$

$$\text{Recall: } m = \tanh(\beta B + \beta Jqm) = \tanh(\beta Jqm); \quad \tanh x \approx x - \frac{1}{3}x^3$$

$$T = T_C \Rightarrow \beta Jq = 1$$

$$T \lesssim T_C \Rightarrow \beta Jq = 1 + \epsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow m = \tanh[(1 + \epsilon)m] \approx (1 + \epsilon)m - \frac{(1 + \epsilon)^3}{3}m^3 \approx (1 + \epsilon)m - \frac{1 + 3\epsilon}{3}m^3$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 \approx 1 + \epsilon - \frac{1 + 3\epsilon}{3}m^2 \Rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{3} + \epsilon\right)m^2 \approx \epsilon \Rightarrow m \approx \pm\sqrt{3\epsilon}$$

$$\epsilon = \frac{Jq}{k_B T} - \frac{Jq}{k_B T_C} = \frac{Jq}{k_B} \frac{1}{TT_C} (T_C - T) \approx \frac{Jq}{k_B T^2} (T_C - T)$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{m \sim \pm(T_C - T)^{1/2}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dm}{dT} \sim (T_C - T)^{-1/2} \rightarrow \infty \text{ at } T_C$$

$$\text{cf. } v_{\text{gas}} - v_{\text{liq}} \sim (T_C - T)^{1/2}$$

2) Fix $T = T_C$, how does m vary as $B \rightarrow 0$?

$$\text{At } T = T_C : \beta Jq = 1 \Rightarrow m = \tanh\left[\frac{B}{Jq} + m\right]$$

Note: For simplicity we assume now that m grows less than linearly with B

We shall see that this is true.

Expand \tanh for small B, m

$$\Rightarrow m \approx \frac{B}{Jq} + m - \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{B}{Jq} + m\right)^3 \approx \frac{B}{Jq} + m - \frac{1}{3}m^3 + \dots$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{3}m^3 \approx \frac{B}{Jq} \Rightarrow m \sim B^{1/3}$$

$$\text{cf. } v_{\text{gas}} - v_{\text{liq}} \sim (p - p_C)^{1/3} \text{ along isotherm}$$

3) **Def.:** magnetic susceptibility $\chi \equiv N \left. \frac{\partial m}{\partial B} \right|_T$; cf. gas compressibility

Fix $B = 0$; how does χ change as $T \rightarrow T_C$ from $T \gtrsim T_C$?

$$m = \tanh(\beta B + \beta Jqm) \Rightarrow \chi = \frac{N\beta}{\cosh^2(\beta Jqm)} \left(1 + \frac{Jq}{N}\chi\right) \text{ at } B = 0$$

$$T \rightarrow T_C \Rightarrow \beta Jq \rightarrow 1 \text{ and } m \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \cosh^2(\beta Jqm) \rightarrow 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \chi = N\beta \left(1 + \frac{Jq}{N}\chi\right) \Rightarrow N\beta \approx \chi(1 - \beta Jq) \sim \chi \left(\frac{1}{T_C} - \frac{1}{T}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow \chi \sim (T - T_C)^{-1}; \text{ cf. } \kappa \sim \frac{1}{T - T_C} \text{ for gas}$$

How good are our mean-field predictions? Depends on dims. of lattice...

$d = 1$: Wildly wrong: Analytic solution of $d = 1$ Ising model

\Rightarrow no phase transition; cf. Sec. 5.2.4 in [2]

$$d = 2: m_0 \sim (T_C - T)^\alpha: \quad \alpha_{\text{an}} = \frac{1}{8} \quad \alpha_{\text{mf}} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$m \sim B^{1/\delta}: \quad \delta_{\text{an}} = 15 \quad \delta_{\text{mf}} = 3$$

$$\chi \sim (T - T_C)^{-\gamma}: \quad \gamma_{\text{an}} = \frac{7}{4} \quad \gamma_{\text{mf}} = 1$$

$d = 3$: Numerics $\Rightarrow \alpha \approx 0.32, \delta \approx 0.48, \gamma \approx 1.2$

Same as van der Waals! Both are wrong in the same way.

Memory of microphysics has been lost...

$d = \infty$: Mean field theory turns out to be exact.

Explanation: Fluctuations spoil mean-field theory approximation.

small $d \Rightarrow$ few neighbors \Rightarrow high fluctuations

E.3 Landau Theory

Unified way to look at phase transitions: Microphysics arbitrary.

Key variable: Free energy F ; we defined it for equilibrium configurations.

Now take its definition for any configs.

e.g.: Ising model: $F = -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln Z = \frac{1}{2} J N q m^2 - \frac{N}{\beta} \ln (2 \cosh \beta B_{\text{eff}})$

Note: F is a function of m

Equilibrium: F can be shown to be minimal

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial F}{\partial m} = 0 \Rightarrow m = \tanh(\beta B_{\text{eff}}); \quad \text{cf. Sec. E.2.1}$$

In Landau theory, m is called an order parameter:

$$m \neq 0 \Rightarrow \text{order}; \quad m = 0 \Rightarrow \text{randomness}$$

E.g. gas-liquid transition: $m = v_{\text{gas}} - v_{\text{liq}}$

E.3.1 Second order phase transitions

Here we consider systems with symmetry under $m \rightarrow -m$

\Rightarrow Expansion of F in m has only even powers: $F(T; m) = F_0(T) + a(T) m^2 + b(T) m^4 + \dots$

$B = 0$ Ising model: Use $\cosh x \approx 1 + \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{4!}x^4$, $\ln(1+y) \approx y - \frac{1}{2}y^2$, Taylor in m , B

$$\Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow F(T; m) = -Nk_B T \ln 2 + \left[\frac{NJq}{2}(1 - Jq\beta) \right] m^2 + \frac{N\beta^3 J^4 q^4}{12} m^4 + \dots$$

Equilibrium: $\frac{\partial F}{\partial m} = 0$. Solutions depend on signs of $a(T)$, $b(T)$.


We assume $b(T) > 0$; otherwise we'd need m^6 terms.

Consider $F(m)$: $a(T) > 0 \Rightarrow F$ has only one extremum.

$a(T) < 0 \Rightarrow F$ has three extrema.

Ising model: $a(T) > 0 \Leftrightarrow T > T_C \Rightarrow 1$ equilibrium: $m = 0$

$a(T) < 0 \Leftrightarrow T < T_C \Rightarrow 2$ equilibria: $m = \pm m_0$



If $a(T)$ is smooth \Rightarrow Equilibrium changes smoothly from $m = 0$ to $m \neq 0$ at $T < T_C$.

\Rightarrow 2nd order phase transition at $T = T_C$ where $a(T_C) = 0$

Once we know the equilibrium value m , plug this into $F(T; m)$

$\rightarrow F(T) \rightarrow$ all physical quantities: $S = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial T}$, $C_V = T \left. \frac{\partial S}{\partial T} \right|_V$, ...

Critical exponents: assume that near T_C : $b(T) \approx b_0$, $a(T) \approx a_0(T - T_C)$

$$\Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow m_0 \approx \pm \sqrt{\frac{a_0}{2b_0}}(T_C - T)^{1/2} \text{ for } T < T_C$$

Comments: Landau theory predicts $m_0 \sim (T_C - T)^{1/2}$ for all dims. d of the Ising model

At $T < T_C$ the system must choose between $m_0, -m_0 \rightarrow$ symmetry breaking

E.3.2 First order phase transitions

Now consider systems where F also has odd powers of m :

$$F(T; m) = F_0(T) + \alpha(T)m + a(T)m^2 + \gamma(T)m^3 + b(T)m^4 + \dots$$

Example: $B \neq 0$ Ising model: Taylor expansion in m , B :


$$F(T; m) = -Nk_B T \ln 2 + \frac{JNq}{2}m^2 - \frac{N}{2k_B T}(B + Jqm)^2 + \frac{N}{12(k_B T)^3}(B + Jqm)^4 + \dots$$

We again assume $b(T) > 0$ for all T

Low T : either one min or two min, one max


When $\alpha(T), \gamma(T)$ change sign

\Rightarrow true ground state changes from $m < 0$ to $m > 0$



High T : double-well potential is lost

\Rightarrow single min in F shifted from $m = 0$; e.g.:



References

- [1] F. Reif. *Fundamentals of statistical and thermal physics*. McGraw-Hill, Inc., 1967.
- [2] David Tong's lecture notes on Statistical Physics:
<http://www.damtp.cam.ac.uk/user/dt281/statphys.html>.
- [3] Mehran Kardar's Lecture Notes:
<http://web.mit.edu/kardar/www/teaching/>.