Galaxy Mergers: A Factory for Quasars, Feedback, Ellipticals, and even Disks?

Philip Hopkins

04/14/09

Lars Hernquist, T. J. Cox, Dusan Keres, Eliot Quataert, Chung-Pei Ma, Josh Younger, Volker Springel, Norm Murray, Kevin Bundy,
Brant Robertson, John Kormendy, Tod Lauer, Adam Lidz, Tiziana Di Matteo, Yuexing Li, Gordon Richards, Alison Coil, Adam Myers, and many more











Structure grows hierarchically: must understand mergers



Dark matter halos collapse: gas cools into a disk



> What happens when that starts colliding into other galaxies?

Copyright @ 2004 Pearson Education, publishing as Addison Wesley.



Our Conventional Wisdom (Toomre):

Major mergers destroy disks



- Major mergers destroy disks
- Minor mergers make thick disk



- Major mergers destroy disks
- Minor mergers make thick disk
- Remnant has an r^{1/4} law profile



- Major mergers destroy disks
- Minor mergers make thick disk
- Remnant has an r^{1/4} law profile
- Remnant size/metallicity/shape retains "memory" of disk "initial conditions"



1970's... most of these are good things

Today... problems!

Too many mergers!



Today...

Too Many Mergers!

Stellar disk-disk merger remnants don't look like bulges!

- -- sizes too large
- -- profiles too flat
- -- shapes too flattened

Gas

Gas

Tidal torques \Rightarrow large, rapid gas inflows (e.g. Barnes & Hernquist 1991)

Gas

Gas

Triggers Starbursts (e.g. Mihos & Hernquist 1996)

Gas

Gas

Fuels Rapid BH Growth (e.g. Di Matteo et al., PFH et al. 2005)

Gas

Gas

Feedback expels remaining gas, shutting down growth (more later...)

Gas

Gas

Merging stellar disks grow spheroid

Gas

What About the Gas that Does Lose Angular Momentum? CAN WE MAKE A REAL ELLIPTICAL?

Funneled to the center -> massive starbursts

Look at late-stage merger remnants

Bright ULIRGs make stars at a rate of >100 M_{sun}/yr.

Compact (<kpc scales)



Most luminous starbursts in the Universe: are they the progenitors of ellipticals?

Borne et al., 2000

The Problem: The Fundamental Plane & Bulge Densities:



11.6

Tuesday, December 25, 12

>

The Problem

FUNDAMENTAL PLANE CORRELATIONS & THE DENSITY OF ELLIPTICALS

Increased dissipation smaller, more compact remnants (Cox; Khochfar; Naab; Robertson)



The Problem FUNDAMENTAL PLANE CORRELATIONS & THE DENSITY OF ELLIPTICALS

Increased dissipation smaller, more compact remnants (Cox; Khochfar; Naab; Robertson)



Bulge mass fraction formed in bursts (versus violently relaxed from disks)

The Problem FUNDAMENTAL PLANE CORRELATIONS & THE DENSITY OF ELLIPTICALS



Tuesday, December 25, 12

Starburst Stars in Simulations Leave an "Imprint" on the Profile RECOVERING THE GASEOUS HISTORY OF ELLIPTICALS



ellipticals?" (MH94)

Starburst Stars in Simulations Leave an "Imprint" on the Profile RECOVERING THE GASEOUS HISTORY OF ELLIPTICALS

Since then...



Kormendy et al. 2008

"Normal and low-luminosity ellipticals... in fact, have *extra*, not missing light at at small radii with respect to the inward extrapolation of their outer Sersic profiles."

Application: Merger Remnants RECOVERING THE ROLE OF GAS

PFH & Rothberg et al. 2008 PFH, Kormendy, & Lauer et al. 2008

> Apply this to a well-studied sample of local merger remnants & ellipticals:



Application: Merger Remnants RECOVERING THE ROLE OF GAS

PFH & Rothberg et al. 2008 PFH, Kormendy, & Lauer et al. 2008

> Apply this to a well-studied sample of local merger remnants & ellipticals:



Structure in Elliptical Light Profiles RECOVERING THE GASEOUS HISTORY OF ELLIPTICALS

PFH & Rothberg et al. 2008 PFH, Kormendy, & Lauer et al. 2008

Starburst gas mass needed to match observed profile (or fitted to profile shape):



- You can and do get realistic ellipticals given the observed amount of gas in progenitor disks
 - Independent checks: stellar populations (younger burst mass); metallicity/color/age gradients; isophotal shapes; kinematics; recent merger remnants; enrichment patterns

How Good Is Our Conventional Wisdom?





Major Merger Remnants DO MERGERS DESTROY DISKS?



Tuesday, December 25, 12

The Unsolved Questions HOW CAN A DISK SURVIVE?

Stellar disks are collisionless: they violently relax when they collide



Can't "cool" into a new disk

The Unsolved Questions HOW CAN A DISK SURVIVE?

 \succ

Gas, however, is collisional (will cool into new disk): only goes to center and bursts if angular momentum is removed






Torque on gas:

 $t \sim G M_{stellar bar} / dr$

For the same merger/perturbation: M_{stellar bar} (f_{gas})

PFH et al. 2008 ("How Do Disks Survive Mergers?")



How Do Disks Survive Mergers? THE PUNCHLINE

Derive:

Gas angular momentum loss/starburst mass Surviving gas disk fraction Violently relaxed fraction of stellar disk

= F(f_{gas},
$$\mu$$
, θ _{orbit})

Works varying:

Baryonic/halo mass

Redshift

BH properties (presence, mass, feedback) Galaxy concentrations/initial B-T/sizes Mass ratio, orbital parameters, gas fraction Stellar feedback

Purely gravitational process: Independent of feedback Must happen



> Fold this into a cosmological model: why do we care?



Low-mass galaxies have high gas fractions: less B/T for the same mergers







Somerville SAMs:

Hundreds of model runs with ~10-20 parameters: still overproduce low-mass bulges



Predict lots of high-z disks!

Needed for their existence We see them (Genzel, Tacconi, Erb, Law, et al.)

May explain some properties (turbulence etc.) (Robertson & Bullock)



Toth & Ostriker (1992): Rigid satellite in static potential, decay by dynamical friction on circular orbit:

Heating :
$$\frac{\Delta H}{R} \propto \frac{M_2}{M_1}$$

Toth & Ostriker (1992): Rigid satellite in static potential, decay by dynamical friction on circular orbit:

Heating :
$$\frac{\Delta H}{R} \propto \frac{M_2}{M_1}$$

> Satellite mass functions:
$$\frac{dN}{dlog(M_2/M_1)} \propto \left(\frac{M_2}{M_1}\right)^{-1}$$

Equal contributions to thick disk from all intervals in M₂/M₁!

No more than ~10% MW growth from any mass ratios since z~1-2!

> In fact, orbits are <u>radial</u>, satellites strip, potentials are live:

Gives:
$$\frac{\Delta H}{R} \propto \left(\frac{M_2}{M_1}\right)^2$$





> In fact, orbits are <u>radial</u>, satellites strip, potentials are live:



See in "live" simulations: Velazquez & White, Villalobos & Helmi

& with cosmological ICs: Purcell et al., Kazantzidis et al.

> In fact, orbits are <u>radial</u>, satellites strip, potentials are live:

Gives:
$$\frac{\Delta H}{R} \propto \left(\frac{M_2}{M_1}\right)^2$$

- Super-thin disks can exist
- More variation in thick disks
- Thick disk <u>doesn't</u> constrain <u>total</u> MW growth, <u>does</u> constrain the <u>biggest</u> event MW could have experienced

Thick disk <u>doesn't</u> constrain <u>total</u> MW growth, <u>does</u> constrain the <u>biggest</u> event MW could have experienced



(Constraint from dispersions in solar neighborhood; Nordstrom et al., Seabroke & Gilmore)

With all this gas getting to the center of the galaxy, what is the black hole doing?

Triggering & Fueling: "Feeding the Monster" WHAT CAN BREAK DEGENERACIES IN DIFFERENT FUELING MODELS?

• If BHs trace spheroids, then *most* mass added in mergers









Simplest Idea: FEEDBACK ENERGY BALANCE (SILK & REES '98)

- Luminous accretion disk near the Eddington limit radiates an energy: h = 0 (dM_{pu}/dt) c^2 (n = 0, 1)
 - > L = $e_r (dM_{BH}/dt) c^2 (e_r \sim 0.1)$
- Total energy radiated:
 - $> \sim 0.1 \text{ M}_{BH} \text{ c}^2 \sim 10^{61} \text{ ergs in a typical } \sim 10^8 \text{ M}_{sun} \text{ system}$
- Compare this to the gravitational binding energy of the galaxy:

> ~ $M_{gal} s^2$ ~ (10¹¹ Msun) (200 km/s)² ~ 10⁵⁹ erg!

- If only a few percent of the luminous energy coupled, it would unbind the baryons in the galaxy!
 - Turn this around: if some fraction h ~ 1-5% of the luminosity can couple, then accretion must stop (the gas will all be blown out the galaxy) when

> MBH ~ $(a/he_r) M_{gal} (s/c)^2 ~ 0.002 M_{gal}$



Observations & Simulations Suggest this Simple Picture Works MAKES UNIQUE PREDICTIONS:

- What is the "fundamental" correlation? MBH-Ebinding: BH "fundamental plane" (PFH et al.)
- Different correlation for "classical" and "pseudobulges"
 - Both tentatively observed (PFH et al.; Aller; Greene et al.; Hu)



Of Course, Not Every AGN Needs a Merger MORE QUIESCENT GROWTH MODES?

- $z \sim 2 \text{ QSO: } 10^{11} \text{ M}_{\text{sun}} \text{ in } < 10 \text{pc in } \sim t_{\text{dyn}}$
- Seyfert: only 10^{7-8} M_{sun} ~ GMC
 - Minor mergers?
 - Secular instabilities/bars?





Tuesday, December 25, 12

10¹⁰



Observed luminosity function: populations at different evolutionary stages



- Most mass in "classical" bulges, not "pseudobulges
 - But, *are* important below <~ Sa-types





Where Does the Energy/Momentum Go? QUASAR-DRIVEN OUTFLOWS?

(outflow reaches speeds of up to ~1800 km/sec)



Feedback, you say? What can it do for me?

Quasars were active/BHs formed when SF shut down...



PFH, Lidz, Coil, Myers, et al. 2007

Quasar Outflows May Be Significant for the ICM & IGM SHUT DOWN COOLING FOR ~ COUPLE GYR. PRE-HEATING?



Gas Temperature

Quasar Outflows May Be Significant for the ICM & IGM SHUT DOWN COOLING FOR ~ COUPLE GYR. PRE-HEATING?



Tuesday, December 25, 12

Expulsion of Gas Turns off Star Formation ENSURES ELLIPTICALS ARE SUFFICIENTLY "RED & DEAD"?



Tuesday, December 25, 12

Expulsion of Gas Turns off Star Formation ENSURES ELLIPTICALS ARE SUFFICIENTLY "RED & DEAD"?



... MOST of the work is still done by star formation/stellar feedback

AGN or Starburst-Driven Winds? WHICH ARE MORE IMPORTANT?



AGN or Starburst-Driven Winds? WHICH ARE MORE IMPORTANT?



"Transition"

- Move mass from Blue to Red
- Rapid
- Small scales
- "Quasar" mode (high mdot)
- Morphological Transformation
- Gas-rich/Dissipational Mergers

"Maintenance"

- Keep it Red
- Long-lived (~Hubble time)
 - Large (~halo) scales
- "Radio" mode (low mdot)
- Subtle morphological change
- "Dry"/Dissipationless Mergers



No reason these should be the same mechanisms... what connections?

VS.



Tuesday, December 25, 12


Summary

- Ellipticals are *smaller* than spirals! How do we make a *real* elliptical?
 - Gas! Dissipation builds central mass densities, explains observed scaling laws: just need disks as gas rich as observed (fgas ~ 0.1 - 0.5)
 - Explains compact z~2 galaxy (and SMG) sizes?
- How do disks survive mergers? (How do we <u>avoid</u> making all ellipticals?)
 - Being very gas rich (f_{gas} ~ 0.5): no stars = no angular momentum loss
 - Particularly important at high-z
- Where did these black holes come from!?
 - Growth in (mostly) mergers: self-regulation by feedback explains M_{BH}-s
- How do galaxies stop growing?
 - Mergers exhaust gas efficiently once near low fgas
 - QSO/Transition-Mode feedback "cleans up" the rest: remnant can redden
 - Radio/Maintenance-Mode feedback keeps the halo hot